form of early Korāsānī court poetry, regained much of its lost territory. However, until the rise of a modern love poetry that was no longer bound to the classical rules, the *gazal* remained the most suitable medium for Persian lyricism, and even today it has some notable practitioners.

Bibliography: A. J. Arberry, "Oriental Pearls at Random Strung," BSO(A)S 11, 1943, pp. 688-712. A. Ateş, "Gazel," in lA IV, pp. 730-33. A. Bausani, "Ghazal, ii: In Persian Poetry," in El2 II, pp. 1033-36. Idem, "The Development of Form in Persian Lyric," East and West 9/3, 1958, pp. 145-53. Idem, Storia della letteratura persiana, Milan, 1960, esp. pp. 239-305. E. E. Berthels, Persidskava poeziva v Bukhare (Persian poetry in Bukhara). Moscow and Leningrad, 1935, (= Izbrannye Trudy: Istoriya literatury i kul'tury Irana, (Collected works: History of Iranian literature and culture) Moscow, 1988, pp. 382-428, esp. pp. 401-07). R. Blachère, "Ghazal, i: In Arabic Poetry," in El' II, pp. 1028-33. H. Blochmann, The Prosody of the Persians According to Saift, Jami and Other Writers, Calcutta, 1872, pp. 86-87. I. S. Braginskii, "O vozniknovenii gazeli v Tadzhikskoĭ i Persidskoĭ literature" (On the origin of the gazal in Tajik and Persian literature), in Sovetskoe Vostokovedeniye II, 1958, pp. 94-100. J. T. P. de Bruijn, Of Piety and Poetry, Leiden, 1983. Idem, "The Transmission of Early Persian Ghazals," in Manuscripts of the Middle East 3, 1988, pp. 27-31. Idem. Persian Sufi Poetry, Richmond, 1997, pp. 51-83. J. C. Bürgel, "Dasrpersische Gasel," in W. Heinrichs, ed., Neues Handbuch der Literaturwissenschaft. Orientalisches Mittelaiter. Wiesbaden, 1990, pp. 265-77. Dehkodā, s.v. garal.

L. P. Elwell-Sutton, The Persian Metres, Cambridge, 1976. D. C. Meneghini, G. Urbani, and R. Zipoli, Handbook of Lirica Persica, Venice, 1989. Farroki Sīstāni, Dīvān, ed. M. Dabīrsiāgī, 2nd ed., Tehran, 1349 Š./ 1970. A. Golčīn-e Ma'ānī, Maktab-e woqū' dar śe'r-e fărsī, Tehran, 1348 Š./1969. R. Jacobi, "Die Anfänge der arabischen Gazalpoesie: Abu Du'aib al-Hudalī" in Der Islam 61, 1984, pp. 218-50. 'Abd-al-Rahman Jami, Divan-e kamel-e Jami, ed. H. Ražī, Tehran, 1341 Š./1962. 'Onsor-al-Ma'ālī Keykāvūs b. Eskandar. Qāhūs-nāma, ed. G.-H. Yūsotī, Tehran, 1345 S./1967. F. D. Lewis, Reading, Writing and Recitation: Sana'i and the Origins of the Persian Ghazal, Ph.D. diss.. University of Chicago, 1995. J. S. Meisami, Medieval Persian Court Poetry, Princeton, 1987, pp. 237-98. A. M. Mirzoev, Rūdakī wa enkešāf-e gazal dar 'aṣrhā-ye X-XV', Stalinabad, 1957 = Rudaki, Moscow, 1968, pp. 242-92 (in Russian). II. Moavvad, "Lyric Poetry," in E. Yarshater, ed., Persian Literature. Albany, New York, 1988, pp. 120-46. Onsorī, Dīvān, ed. M. Dabīrsīāqī, 2nd ed., Tehran, 1343 Š./1964. B. Reinert, "Probleme der vormongolischen arabisch-persischen Poesiegemeinschaft und ihr Reflex in der Poetik" in G. E. von Grunebaum, ed., Arabic Poetry: Theory and Development, Wiesbaden, 1973, pp. 71-105. Šeblī Nosmānī. Šest alsājam, tr. M.-T. Faķr Dāsī Gīlānī, 5 vols., V, Tehran, 1318 Š./1939, pp. 38-110. A. Şeddīqī, Gazalawr motağazzelīn, Lahore, 1954. E. Wagner, Abū Nuwās, Wiesbaden, 1965. Idem, Grundzüge der kiassischen arabischen Dichtkunst, 2 vols., Darmstadt, 1987-88, esp. II, pp. 58-87.

(J. T. P. DE BRUIJN)

GAZĀLĪ, ABŪ HĀMED MOḤAMMAD b. Moḥammad Tusī (450-505/1058-1111), one of the greatest systematid Persian thinkers of medieval Islam and a prolific Sunni author on the religious sciences (Islamic law, philosophy, theology, and mysticism) in Saljuq times.

- i. Biography.
- ii. The Ehya' 'olum al-dīn.
- iii. The Kīmīā-ve sa'ādat. Sec ĸīMiA-YE SA ĀDAT
- iv. Minor Persian works.
- v. As a faqīh.
- vi. And theology.
- vii. And the Batenis.
- viii, Impact on Islamic thought. See Supplement.

i. Biography

A man of Persian descent, Gazālī (variant name Ğazzālī; Med. Latin form, Algazel; honorific title, Hojjat-al-Eslām "The Proof of Islam"), was born at Tūs in Khorasan in 450/1058 and grew up as an orphan together with his younger brother Ahmad Gazālī (d. 520/1126; q.v.). After instruction in Islamic jurisprudence as a teenager in Jorjān, he became a student of the leading Ash'arite theologian and Shafi'ite jurist Emām-al-Ḥaramayn Abu'l-Ma'ālī Žia'-al-Din 'Abd-al-Malek Jovaynī (d. 478/1085) in Nīšāpūr, where he also studied with the Sufi master Abū 'Alı Fārmadī (d. 477/1084-85), a disciple of Abū Sa'īd b. Abi'l-Kayr (d. 440/1049, q.v.), Abu'l-Qäsem Qošayrī (d. 465/1072), and Abu'l-Qasem Korrakānī (d. 469/1076). In 478/1085, after the death of his teachers, Gazālī joined the circle of scholars at the camp and court of the Saljuq vizier K'āja Nezām-al-Molk (assassinated in 485/1092, q.v.), the patron of colleges (madrasas) he had founded. Appointed by Nezām-al-Molk in 484/ 1091. Gazālī became an influential professor on Shafi'ite jurisprudence for four years at the Nezāmīya madrasa in Baghdad (Glaasen, pp. 131-75). Overcome by a severe physical illness and plagued by a nagging skepticism born of his intensive selfstudy of Islamic philosophy, Gazālī decided to abandon his teaching position in 488/1095 in favor of his brother Ahmad. This year signaled a deep identity crisis in Gazālī. Shaken by epistemological doubt, he resolved to seek certitude (yaqīn) as the underpinnings of his intellectual knowledge. His crisis occurred only a few years after political rivals, in concert with Nezārī Isma ili enemies against whom Gazālī had written a refutation on the order of caliph al-Mostazher (487-512/1094-1118), had engineered his patron's assassination. Using a pilgrimage to Mecca as the pretext to escape Baghdad, Gazālī gave uphis academic career. He was particularly disillusioned by the corruption affecting the scholarly circles of the college in the aftermath of the political turmoil following Rokn-al-Dīn Barkīāroq's (q.v.) teenage accession to the Saljuq sultanate in 485/1092.

The next eleven years, from 488/1095 until 499/ 1106, when Gazālī returned to his academic career as a professor at the college of Nīšāpūr, were doubtless a period of intense intellectual incubation, although specific details about his life and work in this period remain historically uncertain. According to his autobiography, Gazālī first went to Damascus where he taught in the =āwīa of Naṣr Maqdesī (d. 490/1097; Makdisi, p. 45). Then he journeyed from Syria to Jerusalem and visited the tomb of Abraham at Hebron in 489/1096, where he made the vow never again to take money from the government, never again to serve a ruler, and never again to enter into scholastic disputations (van Ess, p. 61). He then went to Medina and Mecca, where he performed the pilgrimage in 489/1096, returned to Syria, possibly after a short visit to Alexandria in Egypt, and finally, after a brief stay in Baghdad in 490/1097, settled down at Tus. rouring this intellectual exile from organized teaching, Gazālī lived in great solitude and poverty, engaged in ascetical exercises and mystical prayer, and composed his most famous work. Ehyā 'colūm al-dīn "The revival of the religious sciences," which advocates Sufi spirituality as the fulcrum of Islamic religion. Although this work bears all the marks of the manual of a great teacher and would thus presuppose Gazāli lecturing to students, the sources offer few clues about who his crucial Sufi contacts might have been on his journeys, or, barring a few minor exceptions, who his audience might have been in his hometown.

In 499/1106. Nezām-al-Molk's son Faķr-al-Molk (q.v.), who had become the vizier of Sanjar, the Saljuq sultan of Khorasan, invited Ğazalī to return to lecturing at the Nezāmīya of Nīšāpūr. Breaking the vow he had made at Abraham's tomb, Ğazālī accepted the invitation and taught in Nīšāpūr until shortly before his death, animated by his belief that it was God's will for him to function as the renewer of religion (mojadded) at the threshold of the new Islamic century. His autobiography, al-Monqed men al-²alāl "The deliverer from error" (cf. Watt, 1953; tr. McCarthy, pp. 61-143; first translation into French by A. Schmölders, Paris, 1842) dates from this final period of Gazālī's teaching, during the last months

of which he retired to the Sufi retreat (kānaqāh) he had established for his disciples earlier in Tūs. He died there in Jomādā Il 505/December 1111. The chronology of Gazālī's biography has been established by Margaret Smith (1944), Maurice Bouyges and Michel Allard, and W. Montgomery Watt, (1963) on the basis of Gazālī's autobiography and a great number of biographical accounts found in the Arabic primary sources (listed in Dahabī, p. 115).

Gazālī was a prolific author whose writings, examined chronologically by Bouyges and Allard (pp. 85-170; Badawī), number about five dozen authentic works, in addition to which some 300 other titles of works of uncertain, doubtful, or spurious authorship, many of them duplicates owing to varying titles, are cited in Muslim bibliographical literature. The charge that books were falsely ascribed to Gazālī increased after the dissemination of the large corpus of Ebn 'Arabi's works (d. 638/1240, q.v.). Nevertheless, it is a questionable criterion of authenticity to reject works of Gazālī that are highly mystical or esoteric in character as spurious, separating them from works said to be genuine because they are rather rational or exoteric in nature. It is also an all-too simplistic assumption that Gazālī's writings move from exoteric topics to mystical ones as he advances in age, though some of the most esoteric writings attributed to Gazalī do belong to the last phase of his literary activity. The rule-ofthumb criterion suggested by Watt (1952, pp. 24-45; idem, 1961, pp. 121-31) that Gazālī never directly contradicted on "higher" levels what he maintained on lower levels, forces a harmonizing consistency on a highly prolific author who underwent severe personal crises and shifts of intellectual outlook. Already Ebn Tofayl (d. 581/1185, q.v.) observed that Gazālī wrote for different audiences, ordinary men and the elite (pp. 69-72), and Gazālī himself completed the rather moderate theological treatise, Eljām al-'awāmm 'an 'elm al-kalām" The restraining of ordinary men from theology," in the last month before his death (cf. Hourani).

In addition to the aforementioned autobiography, which is the retrospective story of his religious development rather than a historical account of his life curve, the following are considered to be the major works of Gazālī, all undisputedly penned by him. The legal writings of Gazālī, who followed the Shafi'ite school of law, include the compendia, known as al-Basīt, al-Wasīt, and al-Wajīz that still await scholarly analysis and may represent paraphrases of his teachers' works. The first two are treatises on legal applications ($for\bar{u}^i$ al-feqh) written early in his career, while the third one is an epitome compiled in 495/1101. Gazālī's principal treatise on the foundations of Islamic jurisprudence, entitled al-Mostasfā men 'elm al-osūl "The essential theory of legal thought" was written in 503/1109 at Nīšāpūr (Ebn Kallekan, ed. 'Abbas, IV, p. 217). This last

great treatise, completed two years before his death, examines the rules of law (ahkām) and their foundations $(o s \bar{u} l)$ with unparalleled methodical acumen (Laoust, pp. 152-82). A generation after Gazălî, scholars such as Abû Abd-Allāh Mohammad b. 'Alī Mazārī (d. 536/1141-42), praised Gazālī for his comprehensive knowledge of the legal applications but criticized his grasp of the legal foundations (Sobkī, Tabaqāt2 VI, p. 241). High praise was expressed also by Ebn Abbad Rondī (d. 792/1390). who, on account of Gazali's first half of his voluminous Ehyā', cailed Gazáli an authority on Islamic jurisprudence (pp. 88-89). Except for Sufism. no other field of the Islamic sciences absorbed so much of Gazālī's time and energy as that of jurisprudence (Lazarus Yafeh, pp. 373-411). He was in the first place a professor of Shafilite law.

Gazālī's study of Islamic philosophy received initial motivation from his teacher Jovayni, but benefited mainly from his self-study of the works of Abū Nașr Fārābī and Avicenna (qq.v.) during his years as professor at the Nezāmīya of Baghdad. Gazālī approached philosophy in three stages. First (pace Graef, ZDMG 110, 1961, pp. 162-63), he summarized the principal points of philosophy by compiling a systematic exposition, entitled Maqāṣed al falāsefa "The intentions of the philosophers," which became a highly acclaimed treatise in medieval Europe upon its translation into Latin (Logica et Philosophia Algazelis Arabis) by Dominic Gundisalvi in the 12th century (Muckle; cf. P. Liechtenstein's Latin edition. Venices, 1506), and into Hebrew in the 13th century (Steinschneider). Second, in the first fortnight of 488/1095, he completed the Tahāfot al-falāsefa "The incoherence of the philosophers" (ed. M. Bouyges with a summary in Latin, Beirut, 1927), a controversial work of refutation which provoked the great philosopher of Muslim Spain, Ebn Rošd/ Averroes (d. 595/1193) to reply with his own refutation (Tahāfot al-tahāfot). In the Tahāfot alfalāsefa Gazālī enumerated twenty maxims of the philosophers that he found to be objectionable or inconsistent with their own claims, three of them justifying the charge of unbelief: the philosophers' claim of the eternity of the world, their denial of God's knowledge of particulars, and their repudiation of the resurrection of the body. Gazālī tended to reject the necessary link of causality since all that can be affirmed is a post-hoc rather than a propter hoc, as shown by his example that the combustion of cotton occurs at the moment of its contact with fire, while it cannot be demonstrated that it occurs because of the contact between cotton and fire. For Gazālī human reason alone is unable to attain certitude, though he paradoxically uses his own certain reason to destroy the certitudes of the philosophers by borrowing their method for his arguments! Third, Gazālī authored three treatises that prepared the ground for his subsequent systematic writings on theology, his elaborate Me'yar al-'elm"The standard

of knowledge" and his brief Mehakk af-nazar "The touchstone of thought," both treatises on logic, as well as his Mizān al-'amal "The balance of action," a tract on philosophical ethics.

Gazālī's writings on Islamic theology ('elm alkalām) signal a significant stage of development for its rational methodology because he used the Aristotelian syllogism and systematically applied it to theological thought. Gazālī's influence on theological method, noted in Ebn Kaldūn's (d. 808/ 1406, q.v.) Mogaddema (tr., III, p. 52), is evidenced in his principal work on Islamic theology, al-Eqtesad ji'l-e'teqād "The just mean in belief" (Asín Palacios, 1929) completed in 488/1095, the year of his departure from Baghdad. This work weighs traditional theological maxims (maintained by major scholars of law, e.g., Šāfe'i, Mālek b. Anas, Abū Ḥanīfa, Ebn Hanball against Gazali's own opinions and expresses strong reservations about a theology based on faith in authority (taglid) and marked by polemics. In the Eliya and the Monged this reserve turns into outright rejection of theology as a reliable way to certain truth and, in the Eljām, into a warning against the dangers hidden in its study. Gazālī, however, engaged in theological polemics himself, and his more systematic writings on theology were preceded by his polemical treatise against the Bateniya sect of Nezari Isma ilism. This refutation, al-Mostazher î fi fază eh al-Bātenīva "The abominations of the sectarians" (Goldziher, 1916), was named after the caliph al-Mostazher (acceded to the caliphate in 487/1094). on whose order Gazālī wrote the work in Baghdad. Two later works that reflect Gazālī's intellectual struggle with the principle of hermeneutics (ta'wil), upheld by the authoritative teaching (ta'lim) of the Bāţenīya, are the al-Qesţās al-mostagīm "The correct balance" (tr. McCarthy, pp. 287-332) and the Fayşal al-tafrega bayna'l-Eslam wa'l-zandaga "The arbiter between Islam and heresy" (tr. McCarthy, pp. 145-74), the latter of which includes an innovative argument for the tolerance of heterodox groups within the Islamic community (Griffel, pp. 34-42). The authenticity of Gazālī's al-Radd al-jamīl 'ala'lelāhīvat 'Īsā sarīh al-Enjīl" The excellent refutation of the divinity of Jesus from the clear evidence of the Gospel" is maintained by Louis Massignon (pp. 491-536), although questioned by others (Lazarus-Yafeh, pp. 458-87).

Gazālī's most important work, the monumental Ehyā' 'olūm al-dīn, written during his years of travel and retreat between his teaching at Baghdad and Nīšāpūr, represents a moderate form of Sufism, one stressing religious knowledge and righteous action (cf. the analysis of Bousquet). The work as a whole reflects Gazālī's self-perception as one chosen to revive religion, being a complete guide to Islamic piety, divided into four volumes of ten "books" each ('ebādāt "religious duties," 'ādāt "social customs," mohlekāt "faults of character," and monjīyāt "virtues"). Convinced that in his time the scholars of

law and religion ('olama') had debased religious knowledge, making it a business of this-worldly gain, Gazālī tried to revive a true religiosity that, in his view, had become moribund. To this end he wrote his work in an eloquent didactic style, addressing himself to the common people yet also adding insights for the mystically attuned elite. A teacher and preacher more than an original thinker, he intended, through clarity of thought rather than brilliance of diction, to convert others to following the path to God. Though Gazālī used Abū Ţāleb Makkī's (d. 386/996) Qūt al-qolūb and Qošayrī's Resāla as major sources, and even copied pages of Makkī's work wholesale, the work is an independent and freshly organized compendium drawn from his broad knowledge of the Islamic sciences. After the completion of his monumenta- work Gazālī wrote a short summary of it, entitled Ketāh al-arha'īn "The book of the forty," compiled the al-Maqsad al-asnā fī asmā' Allāh al-hosnā "The noblest of aims," an exposition of the most beautiful names of God (alasma' al-hosnā) and answered the critics of the Eliya' with his al-Emla' 'ala mośkel al-Eliya' (printed in its margin). Among the smaller treatises, written after the Ehya', mention may be made of the eschatological tract, al-Dorra al-fākera jī kaśf 'olūm al-akera. Finally, an extensive commentary on the Eḥyō' (Etḥāf al-sādat al-mottaqīn) was compiled by Mohammad b. Mohammad Zabīdī, known as Savyed Mortază (d. 1205/1791), while in modern times dozens of the "books" of Gazālī's magnum opus have been translated into Western languages (such as, e.g. the annotated translation of Gramlich).

The scholarly analysis of works of Gazālī, and his Sufi writings in particular, has been controversial for about a century (Macdonald, pp. 71-132; Carra de Vaux: Asín Palacios, 1931 41; Wensinck; Obermann; Jabre; Watt, 1963; Laoust; Lazarus-Yafeh) because of the predominant emphasis on Gazālī as an orthodox rationalist. In addition, his monumental Ehva", which deals with Sufi topics for only half the work, has overshadowed a number of smaller Sufi treatises Gazālī authored especially in the later stages of his life. The crux of the question about the extent to which Gazāli may be interpreted as a mystical philosopher is centered on his Meškāt al-anwār "Niche of lights." The work was first studied and translated by William H. T. Gairdner (1924; 1914, pp. 121-53), whose attribution and analyses were challenged by W. Montgomery Watt (pp. 5-22), and Abd-al-Rāḥmān Badawī (pp. 193-98) added the observation in 1948 that a collective manuscript of Gazali's writings, copied only four years after his death (MS Sehit Ali 1712), included the entire Meškāt al-anwar. In a recent study, Hermann Landolt (pp. 19-72) assembled a series of arguments in favor of the authenticity of the work and of the consistency of its ideas with esoteric passages of the Ehyā'. More textual studies on other small Sufi treatises of Gazālī. in comparison with the Ehya?, are needed to clarify our understanding of Gazālī's mystical philosophy. Such small treatises of disputed authenticity are the Menhāj al-'ābedīn (Bouyges and Allard, pp. 82-84), assumed to have been his last work, and the al-Mażnūn (Cairo, 1303/1885-86; Bouyges and Allard, pp. 51-56), addressed to his brother Ahmad. Meticulous manuscript study is also required to support the authenticity of the Resāla al-ladonīya (M. Smith, 1938, pp. 177-200, 353-74; idem, 1944, p. 212), which is frequently held to be a work of Ebn 'Arabī (Bouyges and Allard, pp. 124-25).

Because the vast majority of Gazali's writings are compiled in Arabic, little scholarly attention is commonly given to the books he wrote in Persian. His Kīmīā-ye sa'ādat "Alchemy of happiness" is a Persian synopsis of his Ehya for his disciples, rather than its popularized version (Pretzl, p. 17). Completed shortly before 499/1106 (Bouyges and Allard, p. 60). the work is a well-organized religious ethics (de Fouchécour, pp. 223-52), enriched by mystical reflections on the heart (qalb) that is "alchemically" purified and empowered to reach God. Succinctly put, the Kīmiā- ye sa'ādat finds the solution of Gazāli's own original crisis concerning the human heart, held in the physical body, though fashioned from the substance of angels, as being in the image of God. As the organ of intimate union with God and the locus of the inborn nature (fetra), it is the seat of the knowledge and love of God as well as the source of moral action. In his brief refutation of the ebāhīva (Islamic freethinkers) written in Persian in 499/1106, Ĝazālī tries to safeguard his moderate mystical synthesis by attacking antinomian Sufi extremism (ed. Pretzl). It may also be noted that Gazālī's short Ayyoha'lwalad "Oh child" (cf. Hammer-Purgstall), written after the $Ehv\bar{a}^2$, was originally composed in Persian, and only later translated into Arabic under the title Kolāṣat al-taṣānīf (Bouyges and Allard, pp. 60-61, 97-98).

Another Persian work is the Nasihat al-molūk "Counsel for kings" (tr. into Arabic well after Gazālī's death by Abu'l-Hasan 'Alī b. Mobārak b. Mawhūb Erbilī as al-Tehr al-masbūk; Meier, pp. 395-408), which was compiled about 503/1109 and belongs to the literary genre of "mirrors for princes." Weaving together anecdotes of Sasanian court literature and stories of Muslim lore, the book is written in a pleasing Persian and divided into two parts, a theological part, explaining the beliefs and principles on which a ruler should act, and an ethical part, including counsels and maxims according to which a ruler should administer his charge. It is generally assumed that the Nasihat al-molük was written for the Saljug sultan Mohammad b. Malekšāh, whose rule (498-511/1104-17) followed that of his brother Barkiäroq (Meier, p. 395; Gäzālī, tr. Bagley, pp. xvii-xviii). In her dissertation on Gazālī's letters and public addresses, however, Dorothea Krawulsky argues (pp. 20-25; Laoust, pp. 144-52) that the book was addressed to the Saljuq sultan Sanjar, the brother of his two predecessors, who, prior to his own rule (513-52/1119-57), administered the eastern half of the sultanate in his two brothers' stead as "king of the east" (malek-e mašreq). Then again, attribution of the second part of the Nasihat al-molük has been seriously questioned by C. H. de Fouchécour (pp. 389-412), while Patricia Crone has rejected its authenticity altogether (pp. 167-91). The compilation of the small treatise, Serr al-'alamayn "The secret of the two worlds," also in the genre of "mirror for princes" though written in Arabic, is linked with an often repeated, yet doubtful, story about Ebn Tumart (d. 524/1130). The Mahdi of the Almohads, said to have copied the book while studying with Gazālī in Baghdad, informed the master about the public burning of his Ehya' in Cordoba and throughout the Almoravid dominions (Goldziher, 1903, pp. 18-19).

Given the great volume of Gazālī's writings, it is difficult to state succinctly the significance and influence of his life and work. Nevertheless, Gazalī's own confession, in the opening pages of his Monged (ed. Jabre, pp. 10-11), of a thirst to free his inborn intellectual nature (fetra) from the blind adherence (taglīd) to inherited religion may reflect the core of his religious quest and provide the key to his work. A more balanced interpretation of Gazālī may well lie in the acknowledgment that his manifold ideas evolved over a long career, rather than in the insistence upon either an objectivist or subjectivist approach to his thought. The richness of Gazalī's legacy embraces not only a systematic study of law and theology that rejects both legal casuistry and scholastic ingenuity, yet includes a polemical fervor against philosophers and heretics, but it also embodies a high standard of morals and a deep mystical insight. Gazali's influence on the rationalist philosophy of the Islamic West as well as on the scholasticism of Judaism and Christianity in medieval southern Europe has been highlighted for centuries; the study of his impact on the inner life and mystical thought of the Persian-speaking world has barely begun.

Bibliography: Texts: Abū Hāmed Moḥammad Gazālī, Ādāb al-şoḥba wa'l-mo'āšara ma'a aṣnāf al-kalq, ed. M.-S. Mo'aynī, Baghdad, 1984. Idem, Ahl al-ebāha, ed. and tr. O. Pretzl, as Die Streitschrift des Gazālī gegen die Ibāhīja, Munich, 1933. Idem, Ayyoha'l-walad, ed. G. H. Scherer, Beirut, 1936; ed. 'A. M. A. Qaradāgī, Cairo, 1983; ed. A. Maţlūb, Baghdad, 1986; tr. J. von Hammer-Purgstall as Oh Kind, Vienna, 1838. Idem, Bedayat al-hedāya, ed. 'A.-H. Moḥammad Darwīš, Beirut, 1998. Idem, al-Dorra al-fāķera fī kašf 'olūm alāķera, ed. and tr. L. Gautier as La perle précieuse, Leipzig, 1877; Amsterdam, 1974; tr. J. I. Smith as The Precious Pearl, Missoula, 1979. Idem, Ehya' 'olūm al-dīn, 4 vols, Cairo, 1358/1939; ed. 'A Kāledī, 5 vols., Beirut 1998; tr. (IV/1-6) R. Gramlich as Muhammad al-Gazzālīs Lehre von den Stufen zur Gottesliebe, Wiesbaden, 1984. Idem, Eljam al-'awamm 'an elm al-kalām, ed. M. M. Bagdādī,

Beirut, 1406/1985; printed in the margins of volume 1 of 'Abd-al-Karīm Jīlī's al-Ensān al-kāmel, 2 vols., Cairo, 1368/1949; ed. M. M. Bağdādī, Beirut, 1985. Idem, al-Eqteşād fi'l-e'teqād, ed. I. S. Çubukçu and H. Atay, Ankara, 1962. Idem, Fayşal al-tafreqa bayna'l-Eslam wa'l-zandaqa, Cairo 1319/1901; ed. S. Donyā, Cairo 1381/1961; ed. S. Doğaym, Beirut, 1993; tr. H. Mustapha as Le critère décisif de distinction entre l'Islam et le Manicéisme, Casablanca, 1983; tr. F. Griffel as Uber Rechtgläubigkeit und religiöse Toleranz, Zürich, 1998. Idem, Faza'el al-anam men rasa'el Hojjatal-Eslam, ed. 'A. Eqbal Astiani. Tehran, 1333 S./ 1954. Idem, Jawaher al-Qor'an, Cairo, 1352/ 1933; Beirut 1401/1981; tr. M. Abul Quasem as The Jewels of the Qur'an, London, 1983. Idem, Ketāb al-arba'in fī osūl al-dīn, Cairo, 1344/1925; Pers. tr. by B. Hamdi, Tehran, 1368 S./1989. Idem. Kīmīā-yersa adat, ed. A. Ārām, Tehran, 1319 S./ 1940; ed. H. Kadīv Jam, 2 vols., Tehran, 1361 Š./ 1982; tr. H. Ritter as Das Elixier der Glückseligkeit, Jena, 1923; tr. C. Field as The Alchemy of Happiness, rev. and annotated by E. L. Daniel, New York, 1991. Idem, al-Maqşad al-asnā fī asmā' Allāh alhosnā, ed. F. A. Šehādī, Beirut, 1986; tr. D. B. Burrel and N. Daher as The Ninety-Nine Beautiful Names of God, Cambridge, U.K., 1992. Idem, Meḥakk al-nazar, ed. Rafīq al-'Ajam, Beirut, 1994. Idem, Menhāj al-'ābedīn, ed. M. Fawzī Jabr, Damascus, 1415/1994. Idem, Meškāt al-anwār, ed. A. E. Affīfī, Cairo, 1383/1964; tr. W. H. T. Gairdner as The Niche for Lights, London, 1924. Idem, Me'yār al-'elm, ed. S. Donyā, Cairo, 1961. Idem, Mīzān al- amal, ed. S. Donyā, Cairo, 1964; tr. H. Hachem as Critère de l'action, Paris, 1945; tr. 'A.-A. Kasmā'ī as Tarāzū-ye kerdār, Tehran, 1995. Idem, Mokāšafat al-golūb, ed. M.-R. Qabbānī, Cairo, 1403/1983. Idem, al-Monqed men al-ialal/Erreur et deliverance, ed. and tr. F. Jabre, Beirut, 1969; J. Şalībā and K. Ayyād, eds., Damascus, 1980; tr. R. J. McCarthy as Freedom and Fulfillment, Boston, 1980. Idem, al-Mostasfā fī 'elm al-oṣūl, ed. M. 'A.-S. 'Abd-Šāfī, Beirut, 1413/1993; ed. M. Y. Najm as al-Mostașfă men 'elm al-osūl, 2 vols., Beirut, 1995; ed. M. S. Ašqar, 2 vols., Beirut, 1997. Idem, al-Mostazherî fî fażā'eh al-Bātenīya, ed. 'A.-R. Badawī, Cairo, 1383/1964. Idem, Naşîḥat al-molūk, lithograph, Bombay, n.d.; ed. J. Homā'ī, 2nd ed., Tehran, 1351 Š./1972; tr. F. R. C. Bagley as Ghazâlî's Book of Counsel for Kings, London, 1964. Idem, al-Oestas al-mostagim, ed. V. Chelhot, Beirut, 1959; tr. D. P. Brewster as The Just Balance, Lahore, 1978. Idem, al-Radd aljamīl 'ala' l-elāhīyat 'Isā şarīḥ al-Enjīl, ed. and tr. R. Chidiac as Refutation excellente de la divinité de Jésus-Christ d'après les Evangiles, Paris, 1939. Idem, Tahāfot al-falāsefa, ed. M. Bouyges, Beirut, 1927 (with a summary in Latin); ed. and tr. M. E. Marmura as The Incoherence of the Philosophers, Provo, Utah, 1997. Idem, Serr al-'alamayn, ed. M. Fawzī Jabr, Damascus, 1415/1995. Idem, al-Wajīz fī feqh al-Emām al-Šāfe'ī, ed. 'A. Mo'awważ and 'A. 'Abd-al-Mawjūd, 2 vols., Beirut, 1418/1997. Idem, al-Wasīţ fi'l-madhab, ed. A. M. Ebrāhīm, with comm. by Nawawī, Ebn al-Şalāḥ and Ḥamza b. Yūsof Hamawī, 7 vols., Cairo, 1417/1997.

Studies: M. Asín Palacios, El justo medio en la creencia, Madrid, 1929. Idem, La espiritualidad de Algazal y su sentido cristiano, 4 vols., Madrid and Granada, 1931-41. A. Badawī, Mo'allafāt al-Gazzālī, Cairo, 1961; 2nd ed., Kuwait, 1977. G. H. Bousquet et al., Ih'ya' 'ouloûm ed-dîn; ou, Vivification des sciences de la foi: analyse et index, Paris, 1955. M. Bouyges and M. Allard, Essai de chronologie des oeuvres de al-Ghazali, Beirut, 1959. Brockelmann, GAL I, pp. 535-46; S I, pp. 744-56. B. Carra de Vaux, Gazali, Paris, 1902. P. Crone, "Did al-Ghazālī Write a Mirror for Princes?," Jerusalem Studies of Arabic and Islam 10, 1987, pp. 167-91. Šams-al-Dīn Moḥammad Dahabī, Ta'rīk al-Eslām, ed. 'O. 'Abd-al-Salām Tadmorī, Beirut, 1410/1994 (years 501-20). Ebn 'Abbād Rondī, al-Rasa'el al-sogra, ed. P. Nwyia, Beirut, 1974. Ebn Kaldun, Mogaddema, tr. F. Rosenthal as The Mugaddimah, 3 vols., Princeton, 1958. Ebn Rošd (Averroes); Tahāfot al-tahāfot, tr. S. van den Bergh as The Incoherence of the Incoherence, 2 vols., London, 1954. Ebn Tofayl, Resāla Ḥayy b. Yaqzān, ed. and tr. L. Gautier, Alger, 1900; tr. R. Kocache as The Journey of the Soul, London, 1982. C. H. de Fouchécour, Moralia: les notions morales dans la littérature persane du 3"/9" au 7"/13" siècle, Paris, 1986. W. H. T. Gairdner, "Al-Ghazālī's Mishkāt al-Anwār and the Ghazālī-Problem," Der Islam 5, 1914, pp. 121-53. E. Glaasen, Der Mittlere Weg, Wiesbaden, 1981. I. Goldziher, Le livre de Mohammed Ibn Toumert, Algiers, 1903. Idem, Die Streitschrift des Gazālī gegen die Batinijja Sekte, Leiden, 1916. J. von Hammer-Purgstall, Oh Kind, Vienna, 1838. J. Homā'ī, "Aklāq az nazar-e Gazālī," MDAT 18/2, 1350 Š./1971, pp. 69-111. G. F. Hourani, "The Chronology of Ghazālī's Writings," JAOS 79, 1959, pp. 225-33. F. Jabre, "La biographie et l'oeuvre de Ghazălī reconsiderées a la lumière des Tabaqāt de Sobki," MIDEO 1, 1954, pp. 73-102. Idem, La Notion de certitude selon Ghazali, Paris, 1958. D. Krawulsky, Briefe und Reden des Abū Hāmid Muhammad al-Gazzālī, Freiburg, 1971. H. Landolt, "Ghazālī und 'Religionswissenschaft'," Asiatische Studien 45, 1991, pp. 19-72. H. Laoust, La politique de Gazālī, Paris, 1970. H. Lazarus-Yafeh, Studies in al-Ghazzali, Jerusalem, 1975. D. B. Macdonald, "Life of al-Ghazzālī with Special Reference to His Religious Experiences and Opinions," JAOS 20, 1899, pp. 71-132. G. Makdisi, "Al-Ghazālī, disciple de Shāf'ī en droit et en théologie," in Ghazālī: la raison et le miracle, Table Ronde Unesco 9-10, Paris, 1987, pp. 45-55. L. Massignon, "Le Christ dans les évangiles selon al-Ghazzāli," REI 6, 1932,

pp. 491-536. F. Meier, ZDMG 93, 1939, pp. 395-408. M. Mīnovī, "Gazālī Ṭūsī," in idem, Naqd-e hāl(1), Tehran, 1351 S./1972, pp. 260-87. J. T. Muckle, Algazel's Metaphysics, Toronto, 1933. J. Obermann, Der philosophische und religiöse Subjektivismus Ghazālīs, Vienna and Leipzig, 1921. M. Smith, "Al-Risālat al-Laduniyya. By Abū Hāmid Muhammad Al-Ghazzālī (450/1059-505/ 1111" JRAS 1938, pp. 177-200, 353-74. Idem, Al-Ghazālī the Mystic: A Study of the Life and Personality of Abu Hamid Muhammad al-Tusi al-Ghazali, London, 1944. Sobkī, Ţabaqāt². M. Steinschneider, Die hebräischen Übersetzungen des Mittelaters, 2 vols., Berlin, 1893. J. van Ess, "Quelque remarques sur le Munqid min ad-dalāl," in Ghazālī: La raison et la miracle: Table Ronde Unesco, Paris, 1987, pp. 57-68. W. M. Watt, "A Forgery in al-Ghazālī's Mishkāt?," JRAS, 1949, pp. 5-22. Idem, "The Authenticity of the Works Attributed to al-Ghazālī," JRAS, 1952, pp. 24-45. Idem, The Faith and Practice of al-Ghazālī, London, 1953. Idem, "The Study of al-Gazālī," Oriens 13-14, 1961, pp. 121-31. Idem, Muslim Intellectual, Edinburgh, 1963. A. J. Wensinck, La. pensée de Ghazzālī, Paris, 1940. 'A.-H Zarrīnkūb. Farār az madrasa: Dar bāra-ye zendagī wa andīšahā-ye Abū Hāmed Gazālī, Tehran, 1353 Š./ 1974. Mohammad b. Mohammad Zabīdī, Ethāf alsāda al-mottagīn be-šarḥ Eḥyā' 'olūm al-dīn, 14 vols., Beirut, 1409/1989 (with Gazālī's Ehyā' printed on the margin).

(GERHARD BÖWERING)

ii. The Ehya, Olum al-Din

Rightly regarded as the greatest work of Abū Hāmed Ğazālī (450-505/1058-1111), Eḥyā' 'olüm al-dīn was written after his abandonment of his professorship in Baghdad in 488/1095, while he was devoting himself to the study and practice of Sufism (tasawwof). A brief description of it would be to say that it is an attempt to show how the life of a Sufi can be based on the duties prescribed by Islamic holy law (šarî'a). In this way it was opposing the tendency, found in some Sufi circles, of neglecting duties incumbent on ordinary Muslims. The work was composed in Arabic. Another work of the same author, Kīmīā-ye sa'ādat is mainly an abbreviated Persian version of the Ehya', though there are some relatively slight differences. The Ehya' is divided into four quarters and each quarter (rob') into ten books, while each book (ketāb) is variously divided according to the subject matter. The four quarters are: (1) Religious duties ('ebādāt), (2) Social duties ('ādāt), (3) What leads to damnation (mohlekāt), (4) What leads to salvation (monjīāt).

I. Religious duties ('ebādāt). 1. Knowledge ('elm). This book discusses many aspects of knowledge, but it is probably best understood as an apologia for

Gazālī's own preference for the life of a Sufi over that of a professor of jurisprudence. For Muslims the primary form of 'elm was usually knowledge of God and his commands and purposes as prophets had received them by revelation. This might be described as "wisdom" and is contrasted with "instrumental knowledge;" the former is knowledge enabling man to live a good life and to attain to the joy of paradise, whereas the latter (which includes the sciences of nature) gives man control over objects. Within the knowledge of divine things, however, a distinction must be made. The Arabic word 'olama' (men of knowledge), often translated as "scholars" or "jurists" had come to be applied especially to those versed in the legal aspects of the šarī'a. Though they were concerned with divine things, Gazālī felt that many of them were using their knowledge to further their own careers. He therefore contrasted worldly-minded and materialistic 'olama' with those he called 'olama' al-āķera (men of knowledge of the world to come). He tries to define exactly how far religious knowledge is profitable and how far harmful.

- 2. The Doctrines of the creed (qawā'ed al-'aqā'ed). The first section is a much fuller exposition of the two assertions of the šahāda (profession of faith): There is no deity but God; Mohammad is the Messenger of God. Section two deals with the stages or degrees of faith, beginning with learning by heart the doctrines of the creed, and then considers how far it is profitable to engage in dialectical argument (jadal) and theological discussion (kalām). Section three reproduces an earlier work by Gazālī, al-Resāla al-qodsīya, which is a statement of the doctrines of the crased in forty propositions: ten each on God's essence and unity, his attributes, his actions, and points of eschatology and political order. Section four deals with the distinction and relation between faith (īmān) and submission to God (eslām).
- 3. Mysteries of purity (asrār āl-ṭahāra). After distinguishing external (or ritual) purity from the purity of the members from sin, the purity of the heart, and the purity of the inmost thoughts, Ğazālī describes in detail all that is involved in ritual purity, including the lesser ablution (wożū'), complete ablution (gosl), and the treatment of hair and nails.
- 4. Mysteries of ritual worship or prayer (asrār al-ṣalāt). After a chapter of quotations from the Koran and Hadith on the merits of various aspects of the worship, the detailed legal requirements of it are explained fully. Then Gazālī goes on to speak of the inner attributes that should be cultivated, humility and recollectedness (or presence of the heart). This is the central point in his fusion of Sufism with the religious duties of all Muslims. Other chapters are devoted to recommendations for those acting as imam or leader at the worship, to the Friday worship, and to the supererogatory acts of worship, for those who want to do more than merely fulfill the minimum requirements.

- 5. The mysteries of almsgiving (asrār al-zakāt). This book follows a similar pattern to the previous one. First a statement is given of the precise rules of the šarī'a for the zakāt (legal alms) on various classes of property: herds of animals, grain, dates and other agricultural products, precious metals, articles of commerce, mines, etc. Then the inner significance of alms is expounded, especially the need to see almsgiving as a duty towards God and to look upon the recipient of alms as helping the giver to fulfill this duty. The inner attitude of the recipient is also discussed. Finally there is mention of the excellence of almsgiving that is supererogatory or non-obligatory (sadaqāt al-tatawwo').
- 6. The mysteries of fasting (asrār al-ṣawm). There is first a statement of the precise rules for the fast of Ramażān, including practices commonly observed though not strictly obligatory. Then comes consideration of inner attitudes, and a distinction is drawn between the fasting of ordinary people, that of the élite, and that of the élite of the élite. Supererogatory fasts are also mentioned.
- 7. The mysteries of the pilgrimage (asrār al-ḥajj). The rules concerning the pilgrimage to Mecca (ḥajj) and the lesser pilgrimage ('omra) are explained in detail. The appropriate "internal acts" are also described
- 8. The recitation of the Koran (ādāb telāwat al-Qor'ān). The recitation of the Koran is meritorious. There are certain external rules for it, and also appropriate inner thoughts and attitudes. Though some Muslims insist that one must always follow traditional interpretations, no such interpretations exhaust the meaning of the verses, and there is a place for personal exegesis.
- 9. The remembrance of God and intercessory prayer (al-adkār wa'l-da'āwāt): The remembrance of God is commanded in many passages of the Koran and Hadith. The Arabic word dekr means both "remembrance" and "mention" and so is applied to Sufi assemblies for the remembrance of God. This is achieved in part, both individually and communally, by repeating the name of God or phrases such as "Glory to God!" (sobḥān Allāh). Do'ā', intercessory prayer, is also commended in the Koran and Hadith. Examples are given of do'ā' attributed to Moḥammad and other persons.
- 10. Devotions by day and night (tarfīb al-awrād wa tafṣīl eḥyā' al-layl). The first chapter speaks of the seven divisions of the day and four (or five) of the night and describes the appropriate activity of the pious Muslim during each. This varies according to his station in life. The second speaks of the merit of rising by night for devotions and gives practical counsels.
- II. Social duties ('ādāt). 1. Good customs in eating and drinking (ādāb al-akl). Many of the points mentioned in this book belong to what westerners would call social etiquette, but Ğazālī brings out certain religious aspects, especially when a man is

eating alone. He also speaks of the excellence of hospitality and similar matters.

- 2. Marriage (ādāh al-nekāḥ). The first chapter is a discussion of the reasons for and against marriage, enumerating the advantages (such as having descendants) and the disadvantages (such as incurring heavy financial burdens). Gazālī concludes that it depends on an individual's circumstances whether marriage or celibacy is better for him. (This is contrary to the standard Muslim view, but is in accord with Gazālī's own practice of celibacy after his retirement from Baghdad). The remaining two chapters deal with legal points and practical counsels.
- 3. Good customs in acquiring wealth and gaining a living (ādāb al-kasb wa'l-ma'āš). Working for a living in this world is a means towards the world to come. A man should not be so engrossed in gaining a living that he neglects useful work. Practical details are given about buying and selling, avoiding usury and the like. Some of the points mentioned are legal requirements, others go far beyond the legal minimum. Advice is given on the choice of occupation.
- 4. Lawful and unlawful (al-ḥalāt wa'l-ḥarām). This book is concerned with wara', the pious avoidance of and abstinence from what is unlawful. In Gazālī's time some men asserted that it was almost impossible to gain things lawfully, but Gazālī held that in general the lawful and the unlawful are obvious, but that there are a number of doubtful cases. He then gives detailed casuistic rules for doubtful matters. Other chapters deal with the disposal of unlawful gains and with rules for relations with princes who are themselves unjust or may gain wealth unlawfully.
- 5. Friendship, brotherhood, and other social relationships (ādāb al-olfa wa'l-okūwa wa'l-sohba...). To live in friendly relations with God is a great good and is blessed by God. Brotherhood (or friendship) in God is to be distinguished from brotherhood with a worldly basis. In the former, someone is loved with a view to an other-worldly end (e.g., the love of a pupil for his religious teacher; or a man may be filled with love for God and love others for God's sake). There may also be hate for God's sake, e.g. of those who disobey God. Chapter two sets out an ideal of mutual conduct between friends, and chapter three an ideal of conduct towards every Muslim, towards neighbors, towards close relatives, and towards slaves.
- 6. Life in seclusion (ādāb al-'ozla). There are different options about the respective merits of life in seclusion (or retreat) and life in the world among men. The arguments from the Koran and Hadith are not conclusive, and it is necessary to look at the advantages and disadvantages of each form of life. Seclusion enables a man to devote himself more completely to the remembrance and worship of God and to grow in knowledge of him. It also makes it easier for a man to avoid various sins. Life in the world, however, enables a man to grow in knowledge,

to be useful to others, to enjoy the society of others, and to acquire certain social virtues.

- 7. Good customs during travel (ādāb al-safar). Travel may be for various purposes, some worldly, some religious (such as pilgrimage); some travel is unlawful, some meritorious. Some practical points are mentioned. A second chapter deals with legal matters, such as permitted modifications in ablutions and times of worship, and the determination of the qebla and the exact hours of prayer.
- 8. Good customs in hearing music and in ecstasy (ādāb al-samā' wa'l-wajd). Gazālī holds that music is a means to discover what is really in a man's heart. Some authorities, such as Mālek b. Anas, said that listening to music was forbidden, but Gazālī argues that it is lawful in general, but may be unlawful incidentally. He enumerates seven types of occasion where music is permitted. The last is where a man loves God and seeks to come near to him; in this case music leads to mystical "states" (aḥwāl) and ecstasy (wajd). These states and ecstasy are further described, and practical counsels are given to those who listen to music in religious assemblies with a view to attaining to ecstasy.
- 9. The duty of commanding good and forbidding evil (al-amr be'l-ma'rūf wa'l-nahy 'an al-monkar). This is an important principle of the Islamic religion, mentioned in the Koran and Hadith, but in Gazālī's view it is imperfectly understood and is neglected in practice. The mohtaseb, the person censuring, should be a mature Muslim known for his uprightness ('adāla) and capable of censuring effectively. The matter censured should be something generally disapproved of, present, and obvious. There are degrees of censure, beginning with informing the person censured and exhorting him and, in extreme cases, going on to the use of armed force. A list is given of reprehensible acts. With regard to rulers, it is lawful to inform them that an act is disapproved and to exhort them to give it up; but Gazālī regrets that in his day men are not prepared to go further and risk martyrdom.
- 10. The life and character of the Prophet (ādāb al-ma'īša wa aklāq al-nobūwa). This book is restricted to social matters. Among the qualities of character enumerated and illustrated are generosity, patience, courage, gentleness, chasteness of speech and clothing, magnanimity. Many facts demonstrate the Prophet's sincerity.
- III. What leads to damnation (al-mohlekāt). 1. Explanation of the mysteries of the heart (šarḥ 'ajā'eb al-qalb). Man differs from other creatures in that he is capable of having knowledge of God, and this comes to him by his heart (qalb). Knowledge of the heart is of the highest importance for the Sufi. Qalb denotes both the physical organ and a subtle spiritual faculty (laṭīfa rūḥānīya). This last is also called rūḥ (spirit) and nafs (self, soul). Rūḥ may also mean a delicate physical body, and nafs may also refer to the desire and anger against which man has to struggle.

The "soul at rest" (motma'enna) is that which has overcome the passions; the "blaming soul" (lawwāma) is that which is engaged in struggle; the "soul commanding evil" (ammāra be'l-sū') is obeying the passions and the devil. 'Aql (reason) may mean either knowledge or that which knows, namely the heart. The heart may be said to have armies (limbs, organs, senses, etc.) through which it carries on the struggle; but it is distinguished from animals by knowledge ('elm) and will (erāda). Four types of attribute may be distinguished in the heart; the predatorial (sabo'iya), connected with anger; the bestial (bahīmīya), connected with desire; the magisterial or spiritual (rabbānīya), connected with leadership and knowledge; and the diabolical (šaytānīya), through which evil and vice are possible. These can be represented by a dog, a pig, a wise man, and a demon. The different kinds of knowledge are then discussed, and the difference between ordinary knowledge and that acquired by divine inspiration (elham). Then the action of the devil on the heart is explained, and the nature of man's responsibility for

- 2. Moral education (rīāżat al-nafs wa tahāīb al-aklāq wa 'alājat amrāż al-qalb). The diseases of the heart are more serious than those of the body, since they lead to an evil and vicious character, and it is important to know how to cure them. In a good man there are four basic virtues: wisdom, courage, moderation, justice (hekma, šajā'a, 'effa, 'adl). In this Ğazālī is in the Platonic tradition, and he also speaks of the Aristotelian conception of virtue as a mean. A virtue such as generosity can be gradually acquiredray making oneself perform generous acts. The diseases of the soul are due to following desires, and the cure is to renounce the desires. Finally there are descriptions of the moral training of children and of Sufi disciples.
- 3. The subjugation of the two desires (kasr alšahwatayn). The two desires are those of the belly and genitals. With regard to the first it should be realized that hunger has several advantages over satiety. The practice of abstinence can be gradually increased; but the man who is abstinent to an excessive degree becomes liable to fall into faults. The desire for sexual intercourse is beneficial in that its pleasure gives man an analogy for the pleasures of paradise and that it perpetuates mankind. In respect of this there can be excess (especially infatuation, 'ešq, for a single person) and also deficiency; only moderation in accord with reason and revelation is praiseworthy. It is better in general for a Sufi disciple (morīd) not to marry; but if he falls into sin, even only through his eyes, he should marry.
- 4. Faults of the tongue (āfāt al-lesān). After quotations about the dangers of the tongue and the excellence of silence, twenty defects are mentioned in order of increasing seriousness: speaking about what does not concern one; speaking too much; engaging in useless topics; disputing and arguing

- (unfairly); opposing others in hostile fashion; speaking with affectation; indecent talk; cursing; singing and reciting poetry; pleasantries; mocking and making fun of others; divulging secrets; making promises falsely; telling lies (but lies are sometimes permissible); speaking behind people's back (discussed at great length); tale-bearing; being double-tongued; praising others unwisely; using words carelessly especially in respect of God and his attributes; ordinary men's questioning about theological subtleties.
- 5. The condemnation of anger, hatred, and envy (damm al-gazab wa'l-head wa'l-hasad). Anger is created in men by God in order that they may repel evils which would destroy or harm them. There is a just mean in respect of anger, and this is praise worthy; but both excess and deficiency are blamed. Suggestions are given for the control of anger in different cases. Magnanimity is praise worthy. When anger cannot be expressed it produces hatred, which is entirely blameworthy and leads to other evils. Instead of hating one should pardon wrongs done to one and show compassion. Envy proceeds from hatred and is in respect of benefits God has bestowed on other men. Suggestions are given for overcoming it.
- 6. The condemnation of this world (damm aldonyā). This world is like a woman who attracts men by her beauty and then kills them. Sayings are quoted of Mohammad, Jesus, and others condemning love of the world. It is important to know what things to avoid in the world and what not to avoid. The just mean here is to take the world for the sake of religion, not for its own sake.
- 7. The condemnation of avarice and of love of wealth (damm al-bok! wa damm hobb al-māl). Wealth and material possessions are both blamed and praised in the Koran and Hadith. Wealth may enable a man to perform his religious duties better, to help others, and to perform services to the community (such as building mosques and hospitals). Poverty is to be praised, provided it is accompanied by contentment and not by cupidity and covetousness of what others have. To avoid these faults one should be content with what is strictly necessary to maintain life, should rely on God's promise, and should be aware of the danger of great wealth. Opposed to avarice is generosity. The highest degree of generosity is to give away what one has need of.
- 8. The condemnation of (love of) fame and of hypocrisy ($damm\ al$ - $j\bar{a}h\ wa'l$ - $r\bar{i}\bar{a}'$). Love of fame is like love of wealth; the latter is concerned with worldly goods, the former with gaining control of men's hearts. The man who praises another is his slave. Love of fame is countered by knowledge of the transience of worldly glory and by seeking renown only in the eyes of God, not of men. The pious man hates praise from men. Hypocrisy is essentially the seeking of fame and renown by religious practices (' $eb\bar{a}d\bar{a}t$), though there are also other forms of

hypocrisy which are not blameworthy, such as desiring to appear well in people's eyes. Hypocrisy can be practiced by one's body, dress, language, acts, and personal relationships. It may be open or hidden, even from the man himself. This unconscious hypocrisy is greatly feared by the virtuous. To avoid hypocrisy a man must take no pleasure in being praised and feel no pain at being blamed; he must also be aware of the dangers during religious practices and turn away from them.

9. The condemnation of pride and complacency (damm al-kebr wa'l-'ojb). Pride may be expressed in one's gait, clothing, etc.; but this is rather takabbor (proud bearing). Properly speaking, pride is a trait of the soul. It consists in regarding oneself as superior to others in some respect, sometimes even as superior to the prophets and to God himself. The opposite is humility (tawāżo'). Pride may be in respect of one's learning, religious practices, birth, physical beauty and strength, wealth, or the number of one's following. Pride may be combated and humility acquired by reflecting on man's weakness and dependence on God, and then by special considerations according to the basis of one's pride. True humility is a just mean. Complacency (or selfsatisfaction) consists in thinking highly of one's learning or achievements or wealth, not as gifts from God but as one's own. It leads to pride and a failure to be aware of one's sins. Since complacency is due to ignorance, its cure is through the relevant knowledge.

10. The condemnation of delusion (damm al-ğarūr). Delusion is a form of ignorance, where the deluded man believes and regards a thing to be different from what it really is and where this false belief coincides with his desires. For example, an unbeliever may hold that certainty is better than doubt and that this world and its pleasures are certain and the world to come doubtful, and so prefer this world to the world to come. Other examples are given, and then there is a detailed account of the forms of delusion or self-deception to which four classes of men are liable: men of learning (ahl al-'elm), experts in religious practices (arbāb al-'ebāda wa'l-'amal), would-be Sufis (motaṣawwefa), and wealthy men (arbāb al-amwāl).

IV. What leads to salvation (al-monjiāt). 1. Repentance (tawba). Repentance consists of: (a) the knowledge that sins are harmful and are a veil between man and God, his beloved; (b) the state of being penitent (nadm); and (c) the appropriate act, e.g., the decision not to repeat the sin. Repentance is a religious duty in all its parts, to be performed without delay, and by all who seek to come close to God. Sins arise from the four attributes (or instincts) of the heart (mentioned in II/1) and may be in respect to either God or men. They may also be classified as small ($sa\bar{g}\bar{i}r$) or great ($kab\bar{i}r$). Small sins may become great in various ways, e.g., by perseverance in them. Men may draw near to perfection in repentance to a

greater or lesser extent; this is in respect to the depth of their repentance and their continuing to be influenced by it. Since sin is like a disease, the physicians of religion, i.e., the prophets and learned men, should endeavor to bring to repentance those who persist in sin.

2. Patience and gratitude (al-sabr wa al-šokr). Patience is a station (magam) or stage (manzel) in the religious life, and like all stations is characterized by (a) knowledge (ma'aref), which leads to (b) states (aḥwāl), which lead to (c) activity (a'māl). There are two kinds of patience. One is of the body and consists in enduring pain and suffering. One is of the soul and consists either in enduring natural desires (without sinning)—and this is continence ('effa) or in enduring what one does not want; and this has various names, such as courage and self-control. Gratitude includes the knowledge that all good things are from God, together with a feeling of joy, not merely because of the thing itself nor of the fact that it is an expression of God's love, but because it enables one to serve him. This feeling leads to appropriate acts. There are many types of good gift (ne'ma). Patience is concerned with the trials sent by God and gratitude with his gifts. Absolutely, patience is more meritorious but there are many grades of both patience and gratitude.

3. Fear and hope $(al-kawf wa'l-raj\bar{a}')$. Hope is a station $(maq\bar{a}m)$ when a man is established in it, and is a state $(h\bar{a}l)$ when it is only for a time. Hope leads to activity, in contrast to its opposite, despair (ya's). Hope may be increased by certain practices and considerations. Fear of eternal punishment is more effective than hope in the case of most men. Fear also leads to activity. During a man's life, fear is preferable since it leads to an avoidance of sin; but at the time of death, hope is preferable. Higher than the fear of Hell is the fear of God himself and of separation from him.

4. Poverty and asceticism (al-faqr wa'l-zohd). Every being is poor compared with God and is dependent on him, but poverty is here discussed in respect of wealth. There are several degrees: to avoid and hate wealth (this is asceticism); not to rejoice in having wealth, yet not to reject it; to prefer to have wealth yet to be content when one has none; to suffer from the absence of wealth. Poverty is more meritorious than wealth. To the poor certain good practices are recommended, and certain rules to be observed when seeking alms. Asceticism consists in renouncing things which are permitted. There are many kinds and degrees of asceticism. The highest degree is to renounce everything except God. The man who renounces everything in this world but seeks the joys of paradise is at a lower level. There is a detailed discussion of asceticism in respect of food, clothing, housing, marriage, money, and

5. The oneness (of God) and confident trust (altawhīd wa'l-tawakkol). Confident trust is a stage and

station of those brought near to God (moqarrabūn). It is closely linked with tawhūd, and this last is of four degrees. (The word tawhūd is commonly translated "unity [of God]," but it properly means "making God one" or "asserting God's unity.") (1) A man may confess God's unity with his lips without believing in his heart. (2) A man may confess with the lips and believe in the heart, as do ordinary Muslims. (3) A man may, by illumination from above, observe all things as coming from God the One. (4) A man may see in existence only one thing, God. Confident trust is linked with the third meaning. The nature of confident trust is further explained by giving detailed rules and considering particular cases.

6. Love, yearning, familiarity, and approval (almahabba wa'l-šawq wa'l-ons wa'l-reżā). Love (for God) is the highest of the stations (maqamat) in the spiritual life; yearning, familiarity, and approval are its fruits. In general five kinds of love can be distinguished, and all of these find their highest degree in love for God. The most sublime pleasure is in knowledge (ma'refa) of God and contemplation of his face (al-nazar elā wajheh). Yearning is the desire for something partly known and partly unknown; and so yearning for God is desire for a fuller knowledge of him. Familiarity is the joy experienced from nearness to the Beloved and contemplation of Him. Approval, that is, the approval or joyful acceptance of God's decrees, is also a fruit of love, and is itself a station. Do'a, intercessory and petitionary prayer, is not contrary to approval.

7. Intention, single-mindedness, and sincerity (alniya wa'l-eklāş wa'l-şedq). According to Hadith, acts are judged by the intention of the agent. The relation of intention and act is fully discussed. Single-mindedness or purity of intention is usually restricted to the case where the motive is to draw near to God. There are degrees of single-mindedness, and it can be impaired in various ways, notably by ostentation (re'ya). Sincerity may be in respect of speech, intention, resolve, the accomplishment of one's resolve, works, and the stations of religion. Sincerity in the fullest sense has all these aspects.

8. Attentiveness and self-examination (almorāgaba wa'l-moḥāsaba). This book is not fully described by the title. It consists of a description of the six stations (magamat) which constitute perseverance or steadfastness (morābaṭā). (1) First is mošārata, the statement of conditions; reason ('aql) is conceived as a merchant in partnership with the soul (nafs), who before commencing the undertaking states the conditions to which the soul is expected to conform. (2) Next is moraqaba, attentiveness, that is to God the Watcher (raqīb), as practiced by "those brought near" and "the men of the right hand." (3) Self-examination should be made at the end of each day to discover whether the balance of one's acts is a credit or debit. (4) Selfpunishment (mo'aqabat al-nafs) follows. (5) Then comes mojāhada (engaging in spiritual struggle).

(6) Finally there is reproach and reproof of one's self (tawbīk al-nafs wa'l-mo'ātabatohā).

9. Meditation (tafakkor). Meditation or contemplation is described as causing to be present in the heart two thoughts in such a way that from them a third thought arises. The subject of meditation may be either man, with his sins, duties, weaknesses, and strengths, or God with his names and attributes. Many natural phenomena may act as signs.

10. Remembrance of death and what follows it (dekr al-mawt wa mā ba'dah). It is good that death should be often in one's mind. Not to hope for a long life is meritorious; this includes acting at once and not postponing one's act. The pangs and anguish of death are worse for those who have cause to expect punishment in hell. Accounts are given of death-bed sayings of the Prophet and other Muslims. Seemly deportment at junerals is commended, and then follow descriptions of the experiences of the man between death and the Judgment, and in the world to come, culminating in the vision of God. The final word of the whole work is an assertion of the wideness of God's mercy in the hope that this will be a good augury for the writer.

Bibliography: Abū Ḥāmed Moḥammad Ğazālī, Ehyā' 'olūm al-dīn, ed. 'A. Kāledī, 5 vols., Beirut, 1998; tr. Mo'ayyed-al-Dīn Moḥammad K'ārazmī, ed., Ḥ. Kadīv Jam, Tehran, 1351-53 Š./1972-74; tr. Fazlul Karim as The Revival of Religious Learning, 4 vols. in 5, Dacca, 1971; tr. S. Nawab Ali as Some Morals and Religious Teachings of al-Ghazzali, Lahore, 1960 (selections); tr. B. Bankeri as The Revival of Religious Sciences, Farnham, U.K., 1971 (selections); tr. K. Nabhani as Restauration des sciences religieuses, Algiers, 1984 (selections). G. H. Bousquet et al., Ih'ya 'ouloûm ed-dîn; ou, Vivification des sciences de la foi: analyse et index, Paris, 1955.

Translations of separate books into European languages include: I/2 by H. Bauer as Die Dogmatik al-Ghazali's nach dem II. Buche seines Hauptwerkes, Halle, 1912; N. A. Faris as The Foundations of the Articles of Faith, Lahore, 1974. I/3 by N. A. Faris as The Mysteries of Purity, Lahore, 1991. I/ 4 by E. E. Calverley, as Worship in Islam, Madras, 1925, repr. Westport, Conn., 1981. I/5 by N. A. Faris as The Mysteries of Almsgiving, Beirut, 1966, Lahore, 1974. I/6 by N. A. Faris as The Mysteries of Fasting, Lahore, 1971; with the Arabic text by A. Moussali as Revivification des sciences de religion: le livre de l'amour, Lyon and Paris, n.d. (1990s). I/8 by M. A. Quasem as The Recitation and Interpretation of the Qur'an: al-Ghazali's Theory, Selangor, Malaysia, 1979. I/9 by K. Nakamuro as Invocations and Supplications, Tokyo, 1973, 2nd rev. ed., Cambridge, 1988; by P. Cuperly as Temps et prieres, Paris, 1990. II/2 by H. Bauer as Von der Ehe, Halle, 1917; by L. Bercher and G. H. Bousquet as Le livre des bons usages en matiere de mariage, Paris, 1953; by M. Holland as The

Proper Conduct of Marriage in Islam, Hollywood, Fla., 1998. II/4 by H. Bauer as Erlaubtes und Verbotenes Gut, Halle, 1922; by H. Djebnoun as Le livre du licite et de ilicite, Beirut, 1999. II/5 by M. Holland as On the Duties of Brotherhood, Woodstock, N. Y., 1976. II/9 by L. Bercher as L'obligation d'ordonner le bien et d'interdire le mal. Institute des belles lettres arabes 24, Tunis, 1961. II/10 by L. Zolondek as Book XX of al-Ghazālī's lhyā' 'ulūm aldīn, Leiden, 1963. III/1 by H. Kindermann as Über die guten Sitten beim Essen und Tinken, Leiden, 1964. III/2-3 by T. J. Winter as On Disciplining the Soul and on Breaking the Two Desires, Cambridge, 1995. III/3 by C. E. Farah as Abstinence in Islam: Curbing the Two Appetites, Minneapolis, 1992. IV/ 1 by M. S. Stern as Al-Ghazzali on Repentance, New Delhi, 1990; IV/3 by W. McKane as al-Ghazali's Book of Fear and Hope, Leiden, 1962. IV/5 by H. Wehr as Al-Ghazzali's Buch vom Gottvertrauen, Halle, 1940; IV/6 by H. H. Dingemans as Alghazali's Boek der liefde, Leiden, 1938; by M.-L. Siauve as Livre de l'amour, du desire ardent, de l'intimite et du parfait contentment, Paris, 1986. IV/1-6 by R. Gramlich as Muhammad al-Ghazzalis Lehre von den Stuffen zur Gotteslieb, Wiesbaden, 1984. IV/7 by H. Bauer as Uber Intention, reine Absicht und Wahrhaftigkeit, Halle, 1916.

(W. MONTGOMERY WATT)

iii. THE KIMIA-YE SA'ADAT. SEE KIMIA-YE SA'ADAT.

iWAMINOR PERSIAN WORKS

In addition to the $K\bar{\imath}m\bar{\imath}\bar{a}$ -ye sa' $\bar{a}dat$, his most important book in Persian, \bar{G} az $\bar{a}l\bar{\imath}$ wrote a number of shorter works in Persian, which for the most part either reiterate or elaborate on the contents of the $K\bar{\imath}m\bar{\imath}\bar{a}$. Written after his return to his birthplace of $T\bar{u}s$ in 498/1105, these works contain homilies and counsel addressed to the sultan and his ministers, as well as to his own disciples; they stress the necessity of adhering to the provisions of the $\bar{s}ar\bar{\imath}(a)$ and condemn those who fail to do so.

Apart from the $K\bar{i}m\bar{i}a$, the most celebrated of $\bar{G}az\bar{a}l\bar{i}$'s works in Persian is $Nas\bar{i}hat\,al$ -mol $\bar{u}k$, written most probably for Sultan Sanjar b. Malekš $\bar{a}h$ (or possibly for Sanjar's brother, Sultan Mohammad). In the edition published by Jal $\bar{a}l$ -al-D $\bar{i}n$ Hom \bar{a} ' \bar{i} , this work consists of two parts, of which only the first (pp. 1-79) can reliably be attributed to $\bar{G}az\bar{a}l\bar{i}$. In many parts the language and the contents are strikingly similar to, and in some passages a verbatim copy of, the $K\bar{i}m\bar{i}a$ (e.g., cf. pp. 3-5 and 27-46 with $K\bar{i}m\bar{i}a$ I, pp. 124-30 and 534-42). In the opening section of the $Nas\bar{i}hat\,al$ -mol $\bar{i}uk$, $\bar{G}az\bar{a}l\bar{i}$, drawing on a koranic verse (14:24), advises the sultan to pursue eternal felicity ($sa'\bar{a}dat$ - $ej\bar{a}v\bar{i}d\bar{a}n$), which he likens to a tree growing from the seed of faith (tokm- $e\bar{i}m\bar{a}n$)

planted in the chest and the heart (ed. Homā'ī, p. 2). The tree should be cultivated and nourished by devoting each Friday to worship. This tree has ten roots and ten branches (pp. 2-5). The roots correspond to essential articles of faith: the knowledge of God, His transcendence, His omnipotence, His omniscience, His will, His attributes of vision and hearing, His attribute of speech, His attribute of acting, judgment and the hereafter, and belief in His prophets. The branches of the tree consist of man's external actions, worship, the observance of justice, and the avoidance of injustice. These themes are illustrated with numerous sayings of the Prophet and anecdotes concerning the great figures of religious tradition (pp. 13 ff.).

The second and longer part of Nasihat al-molūk (pp. 81 ff.), differs considerably in content and style from the well-known writings of Gazali. It is replete with stories about the pre-Islamic kings of Persia. especially Anōšīravān and his justice, as well as maxims attributed to Aristotle, Socrates, Alexander, and Bozorgmehr (q.v.). It refers to the concept of the divine glory of kings (farr-e īzadī), and quotes many Persian verses, a practice Gazali generally avoided. In the second edition, Homā'ī expresses some ambivalence on the attribution of this part of the book to Gazālī (Intro., pp. lxxi-lxxx), and both 'Abdal-Hosayn Zarrīnkūb (pp. 256-60) and Patricia Crone have presented arguments to prove that Gazālī could not be its author. Some Western scholars such as Henri Laoust, A. K. S. Lambton, and F. R. C. Bagley have nonetheless treated it as an authentic work of Gazālī in their discussions of the work (see bibliography below). Nașihat al-molūk has been translated into Arabic more than once; an early translation entitled al-Tebr al-masbūk fī nasīhat almolük has been published several times.

Pand-nāma, another book of advice attributed to Gazālī and probably addressed also to Sultan Sanjar, has received little scholarly attention. In its contents it greatly resembles the first part of Nasihat al-molūk as well as some other works of Gazālī, such as the Kīmīā and Zād-e āķerat. The introduction to the book relates that Gazālī wrote the Pand-nāma in response to a certain king who had asked him for advice. A great deal of the book is devoted to the necessity of remembering death and the transience of worldly life and seeking true felicity in the hereafter. Its themes are illustrated with stories concerning the prophets and other religious figures. The Pand-nāma exists in numerous manuscripts, all of relatively recent transcription. The lack of any early extant manuscripts of the work has led a number of scholars to doubt its ascription to Gazālī, although its contents are clearly drawn from his writings.

The attribution to Gazālī of a third book of counsel addressed to kings, Tohfat al-molūk, is utterly unfounded, although its section on religious beliefs has been drawn from the first part of Naṣīḥat al-

molūk. The celebrated story of Shaikh Ṣanʿān, developed at length by ʿAṭṭār (q.v.) in Manṭeq alṭayr, appears to have been taken by him from this Toḥfat al-molūk, which has led a number of Persian and Western scholars to attribute mistakenly the origin of the story to Ğazālī (Pūrjawādī, 2000, pp. 4-12).

Ay farzand (O son!) is the book of counsel that Gazālī wrote for one of his close disciples. It is frequently punctuated by the address Ay farzand (O son!), and this exclamation has come to serve as its common title, although the titles Kolāşat al-taşānīf and Farzand-nāma are also encountered. From Gazālī's mention in this work of Ehyā' 'olūm al-dīn and the Kīmīā-ve sa'ādat it can be deduced that he wrote it toward the end of his life. He begins by citing some counsels of the Prophet before answering questions asked of him by his disciple on such matters as the duties of the spiritual wayfarer, the nature of Sufism, servanthood ('obūdīyat), trust in God (tawakkol), and sincerity of devotion. Queries on aspects of direct mystical experience (dawq) he declines to answer, on the grounds that such topics cannot be expounded verbally. The entirety of this work has a Sufi coloration, in an eloquent and attractive style. As usual, Gazalī cites many koranic verses and traditions of the Prophet, which he leaves untranslated. He also quotes a number of verses in Arabic and Persian, and one of the Persian verses appears to be his own composition; gar mey do hazār rati bar peymā'ī/tā mey naķorī nahāšad-at šeydā'ī (Even if you measure out two thousand cups of wine/ As long as you do not drink the wine, you will not feel intoxicated). Ay farzand has been translated into Arabic more than once, one of which, under the title Ayyoh al-walad, has served as the basis for versions in German by Joseph von Hammer-Purgstall and in French by Toufic Sabbagh.

Zād-e ākerat is a kind of manual of religious observance for those among his followers ('awamm) who lacked the intellectual wherewithal to benefit from the Kīmīā (Zād-e ākerat, p. 3). This, too, appears to be one of the last works he wrote. The greater part of it consists of the Persian translation of one of his Arabic works, Bedayat al-hedaya; it deals with aspects of everyday life such as waking up, putting on one's clothes, going to the mosque, praying, fasting etc., as well as various forms of supplicatory prayer (do'ā, q.v.) and the avoidance of sin. Zād-e ākerat contains in addition the same material on credal matters that is to be found in the first section of Nasīḥat al-molūk as well as the Kīmīā. The treatise concludes with a section on "the correct norms of conduct toward the Creator and creature," which is also present in Bedāyat al-hedāya. W. Montgomery Watt omitted this section from his English translation of Bedayat al-hedaya, which he included in his book on Gazālī (pp. 86-152), under the misapprehension that it had been wrongly attributed to Gazālī. Watt apparently was unaware

of Zād-e āķerat, which must be taken as confirming Gazālī's authorship of the entire Bedāyat al-hedāya.

Fażā'el al-anām men rasā'el Ḥojjat al-Eslām is the collection of letters that Gazali wrote to sultans, ministers, military commanders, jurists, and some of his friends after his return to Khorasan. The collection, apparently assembled by one of his grandchildren after his death, contains thirty-four letters of varying length divided into five chapters. The longest letter might also count as a treatise in its own right, being a response to objections raised against some of his statements in Meškāt al-anwār and al-Monqed men al-falal. One such objection was that by describing God as true light, Gazalı had fallen prey to the dualistic Mazdean belief in light and darkness as forming antithetical realms (ed. Mo'ayyad Tābetī, p. 9). Some letters include discussion of credal and mystical issues. In the letters to the sultan and military commanders he stresses the necessity of justice and solicitude for the populace, while in letters to ministers, including Fakr-al-Molk (q.v.), the eldest son of K'āja Nezāmal-Molk, he deals with theological questions.

The references made in these letters to events that occurred toward the end of Gazali's life, between the years 499-505/1105-11, endow them with particular interest. His letters to Sultan Sanjar were apparently written between 499/1105, when he left Tus for Nīšāpūr at the request of Fakr-al-Molk to teach at the Nezāmīya madrasa in that city, and his return to Ţūs approximately one year later after the murder of Fakr-al-Molk. In 504/1110, when Abu'l-Hasan 'Alī b. Mohammad Kīā Harrās, the principal of the Nezāmīya in Baghdad, died, Nezām-al-Dīn Ahmad (Žīā'-al-Molk), the other son of Nezām-al-Molk, who at that time was minister to Sultan Mohammad b. Malekšāh, asked Gazālī to go to Baghdad and replace him, but in a letter included in this collection he declined (ed. Mo'ayyad Tābetī, pp. 39-46).

Other letters of Gazalī comprise the fatwas he gave on various theoretical and practical problems pertaining to the Sufis of his age; these are to be distinguished from his relatively brief fatwās in Arabic that are on purely legal questions. Nine fatwās in Persian and one in Arabic on Sufi topics have been discovered so far in two manuscripts. One such fatwa relates to the permissibility of sama', the musical sessions of the Sufis. Gazālī expresses the same view as in Eḥyā' 'olūm al-dīn and Kīmīā-ye sa'ādat: Samā' is in itself neither licit nor illicit, its status being dependent on the inner state of the person participating in it (Pūrjawādī, 1990a, pp. 8-17; for text and commentary). The fatwā was apparently written for someone ignorant of Arabic, for Gazālī translates into Persian the traditions of the Prophet that he cites. Another fatwa deals with seven queries about the primordial covenant that was concluded by the descendants of the Children of Adam before their spirits entered this world, as described in the Koran (7:172). The most important of the queries was whether those descendants had a real and sensory existence when they responded affirmatively to God's question: "Am I not your Lord?"; and if so, whether it was in a world other than the present one. Abu'l-Qasem Jonayd, Hosayn b. Manşūr Ḥallāj, and Gazālī's own younger brother, Ahmad Gazālī, were all convinced that the covenant had indeed been sealed in a separate and distinctive realm, but Gazālī's fatwā was to the effect that the descendants of Adam did not have some pre-eternal existence in a world other than this present one, and he interpreted the question and answer contained in the koranic verse in a metaphorical sense. A third fatwā was delivered in response to a question concerning the relationship between the love of God, which is the eternal and uncreated Love, and that of man, who is created. Gazali explains that the relationship of the two is like that of the sun and its infinitely numerous rays (Pūrjawādī, 1990b; for the text of the three fatwas, with a commentary).

Also worthy of mention among Gazālī's fatwās is one concerning the conditions for making use of the endowments of a Sufi hospice (Pūrjawādī, 1991; for text and commentary). This appears to be the earliest known fatwa on the subject, and as such must be taken as an indication of the growing importance of the kānagāh as a religious and social institution toward the close of the 11th century. According to Gazālī's fatwā, only a Sufi is entitled to benefit from the endowments of the kānagāh, a Sufi being defined as one who has the morals and comportment of the Sufi and has not committed a sin that would occasion his expulsion from their ranks. In the same fatwā he touches on the problem of mendicancy, which he regards as forbidden except in case of dire need. He also has an Arabic fatwā on the same subject, which has been included in the Ehya?, at the end of the relevant section on the lawful and unlawful (Ketāb al-halāl wa 'l-harām).

Last among the Persian works of Gazali comes his treatise in condemnation of the antinomians, Hamāgat-e ahl-e ebāḥat (also known as Radd-e ebāhīya). Illustrated abundantly with koranic verses, traditions of the Prophet, allegorical stories, and the dicta of eminent men of religion, this treatise contains material also found in other works of Gazālī, such as the nine squares written on two pieces of pottery that are given to pregnant women, which is mentioned both in al-Monged men al-żalāl and in one of the Persian fatwās. Gazālī's tone in this treatise is harsh and angry; he condemns the antinomians as apostates whose marriages are invalid and whose blood may legitimately be shed. It was probably written after Gazālī's return to Tūs from Baghdad and Syria but before his composition of Kīmīā-ye sa'ādat.

This treatise, like the fatwās, shows that Gazālī chose Persian as his medium whenever he wished to write on the problems of the society in which he lived. The other works discussed in this article also tend to demonstrate that Persian was for him more

than the language of daily or familial use. He thought in Persian and used it to examine some of the most profound questions of mysticism and theology. He must, indeed, be accounted one of the earliest and most important writers of religious works in Persian.

Bibliography: Persian Texts by Gazālī: Ay farzand, publ. as an appendix to Makātīb-e fārsī, ed. 'A. Eqbal, pp. 79-94; ed. S. B. Ahmad as Kolāsat al-tasānīf, Hyderabad (Deccan) n.d.; ed. S. Nafīsī as "Naṣīḥat-nāma," Āmūzeš o parvareš 22, 1326 Š./1947, 1, pp. 10-15, 2, pp. 7-13, 3, pp. 18-24; tr. as Ayyoh al-walad, ed. and tr. J. von Hammer-Purgstall as Oh Kind, Vienna, 1838; ed. G. H. Scherer, Beirut, 1936; ed. A. Matlub, Baghdad, 1986; tr. T. Sabbagh as Lettre au disciple, Beirut, 1969. Hamāgat-e ahl-e ebāhat, ed. O. Pretzl as Die Streitschrift des Gazālī gegen die Ibāhīja, Sitzungsberichte der bayerischen Akademie der Wissenschaften, Phil.-hist. Abteilung, 1933, repr. with Pers. tr. of Pretzl's intro. by C. Pahlavān, Zamīna-ye īrān-šenāsī, Tehran, 1364 Š./ 1985. Kīmīā-ye sa'ādat, ed. Ḥ. Kadīv Jam, 2 vols., Tehran, 1361 S./1982. Makātīb-e fārsī-e Gazālī ba nām-e Fazā'el al-anām men rasa'el Hojjatal-Eslām, ed. 'A. Egbāl, Tehran, 1333 Š./1954; ed. 'A. Mo'ayyad Tābetī, Tehran, 1333 Š./1954; tr. Abdul Qayyum as Letters of Al-Ghazzali, Lahore, 1976. Nașihat ul-molük, ed. J. Homā'ī, Tehran, 1351 Š./1972; Arabic tr., as al-Tebr al-masbūk fī Nasîhat al-molūk, Beirut, 1988; tr. F. R. C. Bagley as Ghazāli's Book of Counsel for Kings, Oxford, 1964. Idem, *Pand-nāma*, Tehran, 1311 S./1932. Idem, Zād-e āķerat, ed. Morād Awrang, Tehran, 1352 Š./1973. Pseudo-Gazālī, "Tohfat al-molūk-e Emām Abū Hāmed Mohammad Gazālī," ed. M.-T. Dānešpažūh, MDAM 1, 1344 Š./1965, pp. 246-300. See also under Arberry and Pūrjawādī below.

Studies: A. J. Arberry, The Chester Beatty Library: A Handlist of the Arabic Manuscripts III, Dublin, 1958, pp. 78-81 (contains four short works by Gazālī; for a commentary and edition, see Pūrjawādī, 1990a, 1990b, 1991). P. Crone, "Did al-Ghazălī Write a Mirror for Princes? On the Authorship of Nasihat al-mulūk," Jerusalem Studies in Arabic and Islam 10, 1987, pp. 167-91. 'A. Daštī, "Ğazālī wa Nasīḥat al-molük," in idem, 'Oqala' bar keläf-e 'aql, Tehran, 1352 Š./1973, pp. 57-92. Ch.-H. de Fouchécour, Moralia: les notions morales dans la littérature persane du 3º/9º au 7º/13º siècle, Paris, 1986, pp. 389-412. A. K. S. Lambton, "The Theory of Kingship in the Nasīḥat ul-Mulūk of Ghazālī," Islamic Quarterly 1, 1954, pp. 47-55. Idem, State and Government in Medieval Islam, Oxford, 1981, pp. 117-26. H. Laoust, La politique de Gazălī, Paris, 1970. Monzawī, Noskahā, pp. 726, 1184, 1297-98, 1705-6. W. Montgomery Watt, The Faith and Practice of Al-Ghazālī, London, 1953. N. Pūrjawādī, "Mo'arrefī-e čahār atar-kūtāh-e fārsī az Abū Hāmed Gazālī" Ma'āref 7/1, 1369 Š./ 1990a, pp. 3-19. Idem, "'Ahd-e alast," Ma'aref 7/ 2, 1369 Š./1990b, pp. 3-48. Idem, "Do maktūbe fārsī az emām Mohammad Ğazālī," Ma'āref 8/1, 1370 Š./1991, pp. 3-36. Idem, "Tohfat al-molūk' wa dāstān-e Šayk Ṣan'ān," Ma'āref 17/1, 1379 Š./2000, pp. 3-20. Idem, Pažūhešhā-ī dar bāra-ye Mohammad Gazālī wa Fakr Rāzī, forthcoming (contains the Persian fatwās of Ğazālī, his Ar. fatwā on the conditions of benefiting from the endowments of a kānaqāh, Ḥamāqat-e ahl-e ebāhat, Pand-nāma, and Tohfat al-molūk). M. Wickens, "The 'Persian Letters' Attributed to Al-Ghazālī," Islamic Quarterly 3, 1956, pp. 109-16. 'A.-H. Zarrīnkūb, Farār az madrasa, Tehran, 1353 Š./1974, pp. 254-61.

(Nasrollah Pourjavady)

v. As a Faqīh

Gazālī's legal education is said to have began at a young age. As a youth, he had already begun to study Shafi'ite law under Shaikh Aḥmad b. Moḥammad Rādkānī, a prominent jurist of his home city, Tūs. He later traveled to Jorjān, where he continued his studies under Imam Abū Naṣr Esmā'īlī, which resulted in writing his first ta'līqa, in effect a graduate thesis. It must have dealt with the Shafi'ite positive law, for we know that the ta'līqa that he later wrote under Emām-al-Ḥaramayn Abu'l-Ma'ālī Jovaynī, is in the field of legal theory (oṣūl al-feqh), a work that came to be known under the title al-Manķūl men ta'līqāt al-oṣūl.

Gazālī completed his studies in Jorjān and returned to his home town, but he again left Tūs for Nīšāpūr to study with Abu'l-Ma'ālī Jovaynī, who was then considered the most distinguished Shafi'ite jurist and Ash'arite theologian. He received from Jovaynī license (see EJĀZA) in a variety of disciplines at a relatively young age. They included positive law (forū'), legal disagreement (kelāf), juridical disputation (jadal), legal theory, theology, and logic, all of which were essential for a thorough and comprehensive legal education (Sobkī, IV, p. 103).

In 484/1091, when Gazālī was thirty-four years of age, he was appointed by Nezām-al-Molk as professor of the Nezāmīya college in Baghdad, where, besides teaching, he issued fatwās and wrote a number of legal treatises. This prestigious appointment represented due acknowledgement of his stature as one of the leading scholars of his day.

Four years later, Gazālī left Baghdad for Jerusalem via Damascus, stayed there for a while, and then went back to Damascus, where he resumed his activities as a professor and moftī for about ten years. Little is reported about his legal activities during the period between his departure from Damascus and his final return to Tūs. We know, however, that he stayed in several places, including Egypt, Baghdad, and Nīšāpūr, and in each place he made contact with a number of local legal scholars. In Tūs, he lived in

relative seclusion and taught law and mysticism in a college adjacent to his house (Sobkī, IV, p. 105).

Gazālī authored four works on positive law: al-Basīt, al-Wasīt, al-Wajīz, and al-Kolāṣa, the first of which is the most comprehensive and based on Jovaynī's Nehāyat al-maṭlab. Al-Wasīṭ al-moḥīt beaqṭār al-basīṭ was, as the title indicates, a condensation of al-Basīṭ, later abridged as al-Wajīz. In the 13th century, Muḥyi-al-Dīn Nawawī (d. 676/1277), could still consider al-Wasīṭ and al-Wajīz two of the five most recognized works in the Shafi'ite school. 'Abd-al-Karīm Rāfe'ī (d. 623/1226), another Shafi'ite author, wrote a commentary on al-Wājīz, entitled Fatḥ al-'azīz, which was abridged by Nawawī in a work called al-Rawāa. The heavy indebtedness of the Shafi'ite positive law to Gazālī is mainly due to these two works of Nawawī and Rāfe'ī.

Shafi'ite law in the 12th and 13th centuries depended heavily upon the contributions made during the immediately preceding period. The two most influential jurists who shaped legal developments during the 11th century were Abū Eshāq Šīrāzī (d. 476/1083) and Gazālī. Both Rāfe'ī and Nawawī largely drew on the positive legal works of these two authors. With the final formation of the legal schools after the middle of the 10th century, positive law was multifarious, each legal case having two, three, or as many as six or even seven different solutions. One of the major goals of the legal school was to reduce this variety into one authoritative opinion, this being the ultimate juristic desideratum. A jurist's achievement in the field of positive law was measured by his ability to determine which opinion was authoritative and which one was not. It is here that Gazali excelled and ensured that his juristic legacy would persist. In al-Basit, as in his two other works that were based on it. Gazālī was able to determine the strength of each and any of the opinions that had been formulated with respect to a particular case. His ability to make such determinations certainly established him as an accomplished jurist, for engaging successfully in such an activity meant that the jurist possessed first-rate competence in legal reasoning, the tool of the mojtahed. In this sense, Gazālī is one of the chief jurists involved in constructing the authoritative positive doctrine (madhab) of the Shafi'ite school.

As part of his activity as a jurist, Gazālī was also heavily involved in the study of legal disagreement, a discipline essential to the task of determining the school's authoritative opinions. In the field of disagreement, he wrote Bedāyat al-hedāya wa'l-ma'āked fi'l-kelāfīyāt, and also Mofaṣṣal al-ķelāf fī oṣūl al-qīās. In addition, he wrote a number of other works dealing with a variety of legal issues, including Bayān al-qawlayn le'l-Śāfe'ī (highly relevant to the determination of the school's authoritative doctrine), Gāyat al-ğawr fī derāyat al-dawr, and a retraction of the latter, Gawr al-dawr f'l-mas'ala al-sorayjīya, a work of law that depended to a large extent on the logical analysis of infinite regress and petito principii.

Aside from his Fatāwā, Gazālī wrote at least three other works, al-Mostasfa, al-Mankul and Šefa' algalīl fī bayān al-šabah wa'l-mokīl wa-masālek alta'līl, all works of legal theory. Here, as in positive law, Gazālī made a lasting contribution, albeit more in form than in substance. He was the first jurist in Sunni Islam to integrate logic into legal theory. At the outset of his al-Mostasfa he provides a manual on logic, it being the shortest in a trilogy of expositions of this topic that includes Mehakk al-nazar and Me'yār al-'elm. Although he makes the study of this introductory treatise entirely voluntary, he asserts most unequivocally that ignorance of logic in effect amounts to ignorance of all sciences. However, when he moves on to the strictly legal portion of al-Mostasfā, there is, surprisingly, little sign of any formal logical analysis, such that his treatment stands perfectly within the conventions of classical osul alfeah. What Gazālī obviously intended in this treatise was not to revolutionize legal analysis but rather to insist on the necessity of logic as the only meaningful tool by which all inferences can be tightly moulded according to a rational design.

Apart from a number of brief notes on legal theory, Gazālī's Me'yār consists largely of illustrations of the three figures of the categorical syllogism, together with their moods, and featuring examples drawn not only from philosophy and theology but also from law. This is also done in the case of conjunctive and disjunctive syllogisms, reductio ad absurdum and induction. It is quite obvious that with these examples Gazālī was merely trying to bring closer to the minds of jurists an understanding of the logical structure of these inferencest. There is no attempt at analyzing legal cases through the medium of these arguments. Nor is there any effort at identifying, in terms of standard logic, the distinctive structure of legal logic. The sole exception to this rule, however, is that of analogy, which Gazālī, following the Aristotelian tradition, insists must be converted to a first figure syllogism in order for it to be logically valid ($Me^{\epsilon}y\bar{a}r$ al-'elm, p. 165).

Gazālī regarded legal logic as that part of the field in which legal arguments are subjected to formalization, rather than as a systematic explication of a particular series of arguments (Hallaq, pp. 336 ff.). While discarding most Greek philosophical formulations, he tenaciously clung to formal Aristotelian logic and made it the methodological foundation of all enquiries. His conception of formal logic as an indispensable instrument for all areas of knowledge is evidenced in the fact that the examples that he provides in his logical works extend over a wide range of religious sciences. In these same works, specific legal cases given as examples are often no more than illustrations of how a demonstrative argument must be constructed and validated. For after all, Gazalī tells us, "reasoning about legal matters does not differ from reasoning about rational sciences ... except in that which concerns the premises" (1961, p. 60). Although for Gazālī the forms of legal and rational arguments are identical, one looks in vain in his works for an analysis of specifically legal arguments from the standpoint of logic. A noteworthy exception, however, is found in his otherwise traditional legal work Sefā' al-galīl, where in one chapter (pp. 435-55) he analyzes, mostly in terms of syllogistics, three major legal arguments commonly subsumed under juridical qīās, namely, causal demonstration (qīās al-'ella), indicative, noncausal demonstration (qīās al-dalāla), and reductio ad absurdum (qīās al-'aks).

Gazālī's conception of the relationship between logic and law as expressed in al-Mostasfā seems to have put the final stamp on the attitudes of a number of his successors toward the role of logic in law. These successors, however, exercised a great deal of caution in introducing into their osul works the principles of logical theory as expounded by Gazalī. While following his example closely, they have, with remarkable discretion, chosen the most relevant parts of the theory and imported them into their jurisprudence. But the fact remains that Gazālī's pioneering endeavor left an indelible mark on the jurisprudential thought of many of his successors. Just as he conceived logic as the organon of any inferential procedure, prefaced his al-Mostasfā with a manual on formal logic, and insisted upon the conversion of analogy into a first figure syllogism, we find many of these successors to have employed logic to ground their theories in what is fundamentally an Aristotelian conception of knowledge (Hallaq, pp. 318 ff.).

Bibliography: Abū Hāmed Mohammad Gazālī, al-Mankūl men ta'līgāt al-oṣūl, ed. M.-Ḥ. Haytū, Damascus, 1980. Idem, Meḥakk al-naṣar fi'lmanțeg, ed. M. Na'sānī and M. Demašqī, Cairo, n.d. Idem, Me'yār al-'elm fī fann al-manteg, ed. S. Donyā, Cairo, 1961. Idem, al-Mostasfā men 'elm al-oşūl, 2 vols., Cairo, 1324/1906. Idem, Sefā' al-galīl fī bayān al-šabah wa'l-moķīl wamasălek al-ta'līl, ed. H. Kabīsī, Baghdad, 1390/ 1971. Idem, al-Wajīz fī feqh al-Emām al-Šafe'ī, 2 vols., Cairo, 1317/1899. For biographical information on his legal career, see Taj-al-Din Sobkī, Ţabaqāt al-šāfe'īya al-kobrā, 6 vols., Cairo, 1906, IV, pp. 101-82; Jamāl-al-Dīn Esnawī, Ţabagāt al-šāfe'īya, ed. 'A. Jobūrī, 2 vols., Baghdad, 1970-71, II, pp. 242-45; Taqīal-Dīn b. Aḥmad Ebn Qāzī Šohba, Tabaqāt alšāfe'iya, ed. 'A .- 'A. Khan, 4 vols., Hyderabad, 1408/1978, I, pp. 326-28; and H. Laoust, La politique de Gazali, Paris, 1970. For his legal contribution, see W. B. Hallaq, "Logic, Formal Arguments and Formalization of Arguments in Sunnī Jurisprudence," Arabica 37, 1990, pp. 315-58; R. Brunschvig, "Valeur et fondment du raisonnement juridique par analogie d'après al-Gazālī," in R. Brunschvig, Etudes d'Islamologie, 2 vols., Paris, 1976, II, pp. 363-94; 'A.-M. Torkī,

"Nazarīyat al-esteşlāh 'enda'l-Gazālī," in Abū Hamīd al-Gazālī: Derāsāt fī fikreh wa-'aşreh wa-ta'tīreh, Rabat, 1988, pp. 275-90. H. M. Qarībullāh. The Influence of al-Ghazālī upon Islamic Jurisprudence and Philosophy, Beirut, 1993.

(WAEL B. HALLAQ)

vi. Gazālī and Theology

When considering the theology of Gazālī two related questions immediately arise. The first is his attitude towards Islamic speculative/dialectical theology (kalām). The second is the extent to which he is committed to one of its main schools, the Ash'arite. Gazālī was trained both as a Shafi'ite lawyer and as an Ash'arite theologian and had as his teacher none other than the prominent Ash'arite theologian and Shafi'ite lawyer, Emam-al-Haramayn Abu'l-Ma'ālī Zīā'-al-Dīn 'Abd-al-Malek Jovaynī (d. 478/1085). He devoted two works to Ash'arite kalām and considered his refutation of the philosophers, Tahāfot al-falāsefa (The incoherence of the philosophers) as belonging to the genre of kalām, even though his declared task in this work is simply to refute the Islamic philosophers, not to develop any specific doctrinal position. At the same time, Gazalī criticized the discipline of kalam in several of his writings, for example, in his major work, the voluminous Eḥyā''olūm al-dīn, in his autobiography, al-Monged men al-zalāl and in the last book he wrote shortly before his death, Eljām al-'awāmm 'an 'elm al-kalām. ret

Gazālī argued that the main role of kalām is the preservation (hef.) and guardianship (herāsa) of true religious belief ('aqīda), that is, traditional belief guided by the customary practice (sonna) of the Prophet. Kalām's task is dorrective: to correct distortions in the exposition of belief by the heretical innovators (ahl-al-beda'). It is intended to persuade the few, those sincere doubters who are intellectually capable of following its arguments. For this persuasive reason, each region should have a theologian (motakallem). The "commonality," however, must not be exposed to kalām. For Gazālī, kalām is not an end in itself. It is an error, he maintains, to think that practicing the discipline of kalām constitutes what is experientially religious.

Nonetheless, this does not mean that for \bar{G} az \bar{a} l \bar{i} the principles of Ash'arite $kal\bar{a}m$, that is, its articles of faith, are not true and that he is not committed to them; but it is one thing to expound them and argue for their validity and another to experience what these principles mean. The true meaning of these principles is not attained through rational argument, but through direct mystical experience, $mok\bar{a}\bar{s}afa$. \bar{G} az \bar{a} l \bar{i} devotes one of the books of his major mystical work, the $Ehy\bar{a}$, to an exposition of Ash'arite theology. The book is entitled $Qaw\bar{a}$ ed al-'aq \bar{a} 'ed.

Its Ash'arism blends, though not always conspicuously, with the book's mysticism. His main Ash'arite work is the Eqteṣād fi'l-e'teqād, where the exposition is more detailed and sustained. In the Eqteṣād, he affirms the Ash'arite doctrine of a material soul. In the Eḥyā', while his language sometimes suggests an inclination towards a non-material doctrine of the soul, the theological world view which Ğazālī develops remains basically Ash'arite. He expands on Ash'arism, appropriating for it philosophical ideas that derive largely from Avicenna. These ideas are reinterpreted so as to accord with Ash'arism.

The cornerstone of Ash'arism is its doctrine of the divine attributes, to which Gazālī fully subscribes and elaborates. These attributes are not identical with the divine essence, but are "additional" to it. This distinction is important. If the attributes were identical with the divine essence, then the divine act would proceed as the necessary consequence of the divine essence or nature. This means a negation of the divine will, since then God would have no choice but to act, and act in the one way necessitated by His essence. Since His essence is eternal, His necessitated act would be eternal. The world, the necessitated effect of His eternal act, would thus be eternal. It would not be an existent temporally originated by God. It would not be a real creation.

The eternal attributes are coeternal with the divine essence. They have a special relation to each other. For example, without the attribute of life there can be no attribute of knowledge and without the attribute of knowledge there can be not attribute of will. Hence the attribute of life is a necessary condition for the attribute of knowledge, and the attribute of knowledge, a necessary condition for the attribute of will. But this necessary condition does not entail that the relation between these attributes is causel. None of the coeternal divine attributes is a cause of the other.

Whatever God eternally wills must come to be. In this sense it is necessary. But it is not necessary because it is the consequence of the divine nature. God could have chosen not to create at all. But "once," so to speak (Gazālī insists that human language regarding the divine voluntary act is metaphorical), He wills something, this something must come to be. It comes to be through the causal action of the attribute of divine power. This eternal attribute, which again is "additional" to the divine essence, is one and pervasive. By this Gazālī means (a) that this attribute does not consist of multiplicity of powers and (b) that it is the direct cause of each and every created existent and event. The world and all the events therein are thus the direct effect of this one cause. For Gazālī the world consists of contingent atoms (substances) and accidents. These are created ex nihilo, combined to form bodies and sustained in temporally finite spans of existence by the direct action of divine power. As Gazālī puts it: "...all

temporal events, their substances and accidents, those occurring in the entities of the animate and the inanimate, come about through the power of God, exalted be He. He alone holds the sole prerogative of inventing them. No created thing comes about through another [created thing]. Rather, all come about through [divine] power "(Eqteşād, p. 99).

In several other places in the Eqtesad, Gazalī reaffirms unequivocally his position that there is only one direct cause, namely divine power, for all created things and for all temporal events. Causal efficacy resides in divine power alone. There is no real evidence in his subsequent writings to indicate that he ever departed from upholding such a view. But this doctrine of the "pervasiveness of [divine] power" ('omūm al-qodra) raised for Gazālī two questions which he strove to answer. The first has to do with scientific knowledge. If there are no natural causes, how is it possible for us to make scientific inferences from what is ordinarily regarded as natural cause and effect? The second is the question of the human act. Is it also created by divine power, and if so, how, in the realm of moral action, are humans responsible for their acts?

Regarding the first question, Gazālī does not deny that the events in this world have an order which we habitually regard as constituting causes and effects. But the connections between the habitually regarded causes and effects are not necessary. These habitual causes and effects follow sequences that parallel Avicenna's conception. They behave as though they are real causes and their effects, allowing us ordinarily to speak of them as causes and effects and to draw from them scientific inferences. But in reality they are not real causes and their effects. They do, however, follow an order, invariable for the most part, ordained by the divine will. This order in itself is not necessary. Its disruption is hence possible. The divine will which decrees this order also decrees its disruption at certain times in history. The disruption constitutes the occurrence of the miracle. When such an occurrence takes place, God removes from us knowledge of nature's uniformity, creating for us the knowledge of the miracle.

Turning to the question of the human act in relation to divine power, Gazālī's position is Ash'arite. It has to be understood in terms of the Ash'arite criticism of the doctrine of divine justice espoused by the earlier Mu'tazilite school of kalām. Divine justice, according to the Mu'tazilites, entails man's freedom of the will. Man chooses and "creates" those acts for which he is rewarded or punished in the hereafter. The Mu'tazilites held that reason discerns the moral value of acts. These values hence are objective qualities that inhere in the acts. An act is not good simply because God commands it. Rather, it is precisely because an act is in itself good that God commands it.

Gazālī rejects the Mu'tazilite doctrine of the intrinsic value of the moral act. It imposes a limit on divine power since the divine act would be

conditioned by such intrinsic objective values of acts. In themselves, he argues, acts are morally neutral. They are good or bad simply because God either commands or prohibits them. The just act is the act which God performs or commands. Hence, if God were to torture an innocent child in the hereafter, this would be good and just. We know that He does not perform such acts because He has so indicated, and God does not utter any falsehood.

Turning to the Mu'tazilite doctrine of the freedom of the will, again for Gazālī, it imposes a limitation on divine power. Creation belongs only to God. It is blasphemy to maintain that there are acts which man creates. All human acts, for Gazālī, are the creation of divine power. This brings us to Gazālī's full endorsement of the Ash'arite doctrine of acquisition (kasb), a doctrine which he argues for at length in the Eğteşād and reaffirms in the Eḥyā'.

Gazālī argues unequivocally (a) that "power" in all animate creatures is created directly by divine power and (b) that there is created with it the object of power (maqdūr) ordinarily, but erroneously, regarded as the effect of created power. The human act is created for the human by divine power. The human "acquires" it as well as the object ordinarily regarded as produced by the human act. In other words, whatever humans are said to deliberately perform is in reality created on their behalf by divine power. Divine power creates human power after it has created human life, knowledge, and will. Created power, moreover, never precedes the created act. It is created with it.

To the common objection that this leads to utter determinism (jabr), Gazālī responds that with the determinists (mojbera) there can be no distinction between the spasmodic movement and the movement over which we experience power. For created power and the movement that accompanies it are things we in fact experience. The Mu'tazilite belief that we create our own acts cannot be true because to create something is to have knowledge of all its consequential details. We never can have such knowledge. Bees have no mathematical knowledge. Yet, their hexagonal houses are built to perfection. This is created for them by divine power. The bees are simply the locus of divine action. So are humans. But if will, power and act are all the direct creation of divine power, how can humans be morally responsible? Gazālī does not really answer this question. His language suggests that we are here in the realm of mystery. In the Ehya', he indicates that the manner in which the eternal divine power is the cause of each and every existent and happening, including the human act, can only be understood by those well grounded in knowledge (al-rāsekūn fi'l-'elm) through kašf, direct mystical revelation. For in this experience, the mystic sees that God is the only reality; all things then fall into place.

Bibliography: Texts: Eḥyā' colūm al-dīn, 4 vols., Cairo, 1377/1957 (there are various printings of

this work, but thus far, no critical edition); tr. G. H. Bousquet et al. as Ih'ya 'ouloûm ed-dîn; ou, Vivification des sciences de la foi: analyse et index, Paris, 1955. Eljām al-'awāmm 'an 'elm al-kalām, ed. M. Bagdādī, Cairo, 1985. al-Eqtesād fi'l-e'teqad, ed. I. S. Çubukçu and H. Atay, Ankara, 1962; ed. 'A. Hamawî, Damascus, 1999. Al-Magsad al-asnā fī asmā' Allāh al-hosnā, ed. F. A. Shehadi, Beirut, 1982; tr. R. Ch. Stade, as The Attributes of Divine Perfection, Jeddah, 1989; tr. D. B. Burrell and N. Daher as The Ninety-nine Beautiful Names of God, Cambridge, U.K., 1992. Al-Monged men al-żalāl, J. Şalībā and K. Ayyād, eds., 9th edition, Damascus, 1980; tr. R. J. McCarthy as Freedom and Fulfillment, Boston, 1980. Taḥāfot al-falāsefa, tr. and ed. M. E. Marmura as The Incoherence of the Philosophers, Provo, Utah, 1997.

Studies: M. Fakhry, Islamic Occasionalism and Its Critique by Averroes, Maimonides, and Aquinas, London, 1958. R. M. Frank, Al-Ghazālī and the Ash 'arite School, Durham and London, 1994. G. L. Gardet and G. C. Anawati, Introduction à théologie musulmane, Paris, 1948. D. Gimaret, La doctrine d'al-Ash'arī, Paris, 1990. G. F. Hourani, "A Revised Chronology of al-Ghazālī's Writings," JAOS 104, 1984, pp. 289-302. F. Jabre, La notion de certitude selon Ghazali, Paris, 1958. M. E. Marmura, "Al-Ghazālī and Demonstrative Science," Journal of the History of Philosophy 3/2, 1965, pp. 46-75. Idem, "Ghazālī's Chapter on Divine Power in the Iqtisad," Arabic Science and Philosophy 4, 1994, pp. 270-315. Idem, "Ghazālian Causes and Intermediaries," JAOS 115/1 1995, pp. 89-100. K. Nakamufa, "Was al-Ghazālī an Ash'arite?" Memoirs of the Research Department of the Tokyo Bunko 51, 1993, pp. 1-24. J. Obermann, Der philosophische und religiose Subjectivismus Ghazālīs, Vienna and Leipzig, 1921. F. A. Shehadi, Ghazali's Unique Unknowable God, Leiden, 1975. W. M. Watt, Muslim Intellectual, Edinburgh, 1963.

(MICHAEL E. MARMURA)

vii. Ğazālī and the Bāṭenīs

The Bāṭenīya, or Isma'ilis, occupied Gazālī's mind throughout his writing career. He devoted more space to refuting them than to any other school of Islamic thought. Even in his autobiographical al-Monqed men al-żalāl, written late in his life, he singled them out for lengthy denunciation after having critically discussed kalām theology and philosophy and before endorsing Sufism as the most fulfilling form of Islam. This preoccupation reflected his concern about the reinvigorated Isma'ili missionary activity in contemporary Persia organized by the dā'īs (q.v.) 'Abd-al-Malek b. 'Aṭṭāš and Ḥasan-e Ṣabbāḥ. Deeply committed to the Sunnite caliphate and anti-Shi'ite, he saw in the Isma'ili movement a grave political threat. Although he recognized certain

affinities between his own and Isma'ili religious thought, it is unlikely that he was ever attracted to Isma'ilism. There is, on the other hand, no sound evidence that he ever felt personally threatened by the Isma'ilis and that he, as suggested by Farid Jabre (pp. 84-94), gave up his prestigious teaching position in Baghdad and went into hiding afraid for his life because of the assassination of his patron, the vizier Nezām-al-Molk, by a fedā'ī (q.v.) in 485/1092.

The first and most comprehensive refutation of Isma'ilism by Gazālī was his Ketāb fażā'eh al-Bāṭenīya wa-fażā'el al-Mostazherīya, often simply called al-Mostazheri. It was, as the title indicates, commissioned by the 'Abbasid caliph al-Mostazher and was composed in Baghdad between al-Mostazher's accession in Moharram 487/February 1094 and the death of the Fatimid caliph al-Mostanser in Du'l-Hejja/December of that year. The refutation was largely based on the earlier tradition of anti-Isma'ili polemics. In particular Gazālī appears to have relied on the Ketāb kašf al-asrār wa-hatk alastār of the Ash'arite Abū Bakr Mohammad b. Tayyeb Bāqellānī (d. 403/1013), as noted by himself or a gloss in his Eḥyā' 'olūm al-dīn (see Goldziher, p. 16). Thus he repeated the black legend of the polemicists about Isma'ilism having been founded by a clique of atheist conspirators seeking to destroy the rule of Islam, quotes Baqellani's characterization of Isma'ilism as "a doctrine whose exterior was Shi'ite rejectionism and whose interior was pure unbelief (madhab zāherohu al-rafž wa-bāţenohu alkofr al-mahż)" (Goldziher, Ar. text, p. 7) and lists among the names under which the Isma'ilis were said to be known those of Persian Mazdakite heresies such as the Korramīya, Bābakīya, and Mohammera with whom the polemicists tried to associate them. He describes nine fictitious degrees of initiation, also known from other polemicists, through which the Isma'ili dā'īs allegedly guided the neophytes from scrutiny (tafarros) to the stripping away of all religious belief (salk), and characterizes Isma'ilism as moving between doctrines of dualists and the philosophers while distorting both of them to serve their purposes. Gazālī, however, does not mention the most notorious pamphlet ascribed by the polemicists, including Bāqellānī, for defamatory purposes to the Isma'ilis, the Ketāb al-sīāsa wa'lbalāg al-akbar (see Stern, chap. 4) and admits that the Isma'ilis in his time universally denied some of the accusations of the polemicists against them, such as their alleged disregard of the šarī'a.

In mentioning their being called Ta'līmīya, Ğazālī notes that this name is the most appropriate for the Bāṭenīya of his own age because of their call for reliance on ta'līm, inspired instruction by their infallible $(ma's\bar{u}m)$ imam, and their rejection of personal reasoning (ra'y). This observation reflects his awareness of the thrust of the propaganda of the new da'wa of Ḥasan-e Ṣabbāḥ. He stresses the need to counter this doctrine and devotes a chapter to

refuting it in particular. In another chapter he discusses the legal status of the Isma'ilis. While he describes some of their basic Shi'ite beliefs as merely error not constituting unbelief, he considers others as definite unbelief requiring their treatment as apostates subject to the death penalty. In his later Fayşal al-tafreqa (p. 198), he brands the Isma'ili doctrine that God can only be described as giving existence, knowledge, and unity to others while Himself being above such qualification as manifest unbelief (kofr sorāh). The final section of the Mostazheri is devoted to the exaltation of the caliph al-Mostazher as the sole legitimate vice-gerent of God (kalīfat Allāh) on earth and to the functions of the imam according to the Sunnite doctrine (Goldziher, pp. 80-97).

Nowhere in his refutation does Ğazālī quote or name any Isma'ili authors. The reason was evidently, as he explains in his Monqed (p. 28), his agreement with the opinion of Aḥmad b. Ḥanbal that the arguments of heretics should not be quoted in refuting them lest some readers might get attracted by them. Gazālī defends himself that he refuted only arguments that were widely known among the public. His reliance on the anti-Isma'ili polemical literature, however, made it easy for the Yemenite Isma'ili dā'ī moṭlaq 'Alī b. Moḥammad b. Walīd (d. 612/1215) in his detailed refutation of the Mostazherī, entitled Dāmeā al-bāṭel, to point out Ğazālī's numerous distortions and misrepresentations of Isma'ili teaching.

In his Monged, Gazālī names four other books besides the Mostazheri, in which he refuted Isma'ili doctrine. Of these only one is extant, namely the Ketāb al-gostās al-mostagīm. In this book he describes an imaginary debate between himself and an Isma'ili about the question of ta'līm, in which his opponent eventually concedes defeat and asks Gazālī to become his teacher, which the latter refuses. Gazālī accepts the universal human need for an infallible teacher as stipulated by his opponent, but he insists that the sound balance for weighing religious truth is provided by the Koran and the teaching of the Prophet Mohammad without any need for an infallible imam after him. Also extant is Gazālī's Jawāb al-masā'el al-arba' allatī sa'alahā al-Bāţenīya be-Hamadān (see Badawī, pp. 132-34). It contains brief answers to four questions concerning the compatibility of taklif, the imposition of duties on man, by a God who was believed to be self-sufficient (gani). Gazali further wrote a refutation in Persian of the "Four Chapters" (al-Foșul al-arba'a) in which Hasan-e Şabbāh had set forth his argument for mankind's need of an infallible teacher. The beginning of the refutation is quoted by Fakr-al-Dīn Rāzī in Monāzarāt and criticized as an inadequate response to Hasan-e Şabbāh's argument (Kholeif, pp. 63-65, Ar. text pp. 40-42).

The question of $ta^c lim$ evidently concerned $\bar{G}az\bar{a}l\bar{i}$ in his later life more than any other aspect of Isma'ili

thought. In his Monqed, too, he speaks of Isma'ilism only as the madhab al-ta'līm. He severely criticizes those opponents of the Isma'ilis who endeavored to refute their assertion of the need for ta'līm and an infallible teacher, suggesting that they lost the argument and thus strengthened the cause of the heretics. The proper way was to argue that Mohammad was the infallible teacher of all Muslims and that his death after God had announced the perfection of their religion (Koran 5:3) could not be any more detrimental to them than the inaccessibility of the allegedly infallible imam to most Isma'ilis.

Bibliography: 'Alī b. Moḥammad b. Walīd, Dāmeğ al-bātel wa-hatf al-monāžel, ed. M. Gāleb, 2 vols., Beirut, 1982. 'A. Badawī, Mo'allafāt al-Gazālī, Cairo, 1961. H. Corbin, "The Ismā'īlī Response to the Polemic of Ghazālī," in Ismā'īlī Contributions to Islamic Culture, ed. S. H. Nasr, Tehran, 1977, Abū Ḥāmed Moḥammad Gazālī, Ketāb al-qostās al-mostaqīm, ed. V. Salhat, Beirut, 1959. Idem, Fayşal al-tafreqa bayn al-Eslām wa'lzandaqa, ed. S. Donyā, Cairo, 1961. Idem, Ketāb fażā'eh al-Bāțenīya, ed. 'A. Badawī, Cairo, 1964. Idem, al-Monged men al-zalāl, ed. F. Jabre, Beirut, 1959; tr. F. Jabre as Erreur et deliverance, Beirut, 1959. I. Goldziher, Streitschrift des Gazālī gegen die Batinijja-Sekte, Leiden, 1916. E. Glassen, Der mittlere Weg: Studien zur Religionspolitik und Religiosität der spätern Abbasiden-Zeit, Wiesbaden, 1981. M. Hogga, Orthodoxie, subversion et réforme en Islam: Gazālī et les Seljūqides, Paris, 1993. F. Jabre, "La biographie et l'oeuvre de Ghazăli reconsiderées a la lumière des Tabagāt de Sobkī," MIDEO 1, 1954, pp. 73-102. F. Kholeif, A Study of Fakhr al-Dīn al-Rāzī and His Controversies in Transoxiana, Beirut, 1966. M.-J. Mahjūb, "Gazālī wa Esmā'īlīān: Morūr-ī bar Fażā'eh al-Bāṭenīya," Iran-nāma/Iran Nameh 4/4, 1365 Š./ 1986, pp. 616-78. S. M. Stern, Studies in Early Ismā'īlism, Leiden, 1983.

(WILFERD MADELUNG)

viii. IMPACT ON ISLAMIC THOUGHT. See Supplement.

GAZĀLĪ, MAJD-AL-DĪN Abu'l-Fotūḥ AḤMAD b. Moḥammad b. Moḥammad b. Aḥmad, outstanding mystic, writer, and eloquent preacher (b. ca. 453/1061, d. 517/1123 or 520/1126). The younger brother of the celebrated theologian, jurist, and Sufi, Abū Ḥāmed Moḥammad Gazālī (q.v.), Aḥmad Gazālī was born in Ṭābarān, a village near the city of Ṭūs in Khorasan, and it was in Ṭūs that he received his early education, primarily in jurisprudence. He turned to Sufism while still young, becoming the pupil first of Abū Bakr Nassāj Ṭūsī (d. 487/1094) and then of Abū ʿAlī Fārmadī (d. 477/1084). He was thus well advanced in Sufism when in 488/1095 his brother, Abū Ḥāmed, asked him to teach in his place at the

Nezāmīya in Baghdad and to assume responsibility for his family during his planned absence. Ahmad Gazālī traveled extensively in the capacities both of a Sufi master and of a popular preacher, visiting places such as Nīšāpūr, Marāga, Hamadān, and Isfahan. He died and was buried in Qazvīn (biographical notices may be found in Ebn al-Jawzī, Montazam, IX, p. 260; idem, Ketāb al-gossās wa'lmodakkerin, ed. M. Swartz, Beirut, 1971, text pp. 104-7, tr., pp 184-87, 210; Ebn Kallekan, tr. de Slane, I, pp. 79-80; Sobki, Tabaqat [Cairo2], IV, p. 54; 'Abd-al-Karım Rafe'ı Qazvını, al-Tadwin fi akbar Oazvin, ed. 'A. 'Otaredi, Haydarabad, 1984, repr. Beirut, 1987, IV, p. 251), having initiated and trained many eminent disciples such as 'Ayn-al-Qozat Hamadānī (q.v.) and Abu'l-Najīb Sohravardī (d. 563/1168). It is because of the latter that the initiatic chains (selsela) of the Sohravardī order and its derivatives such as the Kobrawiya, the Mawlawiya, and the Ne'mat-Allāhīya go back to Aḥmad Gazālī.

He is best known in the history of Sufism for his ideas on love, expressed primarily in the celebrated work entitled Sawaneh. This little book, written around 508/1114 and comprising some 77 short chapters, was innovative in form, for at a time when Persian Sufi authors used only prose, Gazālī had recourse to verse in order to illustrate in metaphorical fashion the themes he expounded more technically in the prose sections of his work. The same technique was used a century and a half later by Sa'dī in his Golestān and by Fakr-al-Dīn 'Erāqī (q.v.) in his Lama'at; the latter author explicitly acknowledges his debt to the Sawaneh. Ahmad Gazālī relates numerous tromantic anecdotes, especially those concerning Laylī and Majnūn and Mahmūd and Ayāz (q.v.); in contrast, his citations of the Koran or Hadith are relatively infrequent. In the prologue to his work, Ahmad Gazālī states his intention of using the language of allusion (ešāra) in order to express his ideas, a choice in which he had been preceded by Sufi masters such as Jonayd Bağdādī and Abū 'Alī Rūdbārī; the result is occasional ambiguity and obscurity of meaning.

The Sawaneh opens with a description of the entry of Love and Spirit into the world of beings and the union between them that gives rise to the Lover, by means of whom Love then aspires to return to its original solitude and oneness. This process of return and the difficulties that accompany it, metaphorically described, form the main theme of the book. Love is depicted as a bird that flies into the world for a brief sojourn before returning to its nest. Transcending in its essence human knowledge and comprehension, Love is experientially accessible to the Lover who, beholding the beauty manifest in the Beloved (q.v.), strives toward union (wesal). Such union, however, does not end the process, for the duality of Lover and Beloved still remains. Only when the Lover fully transcends the Beloved and becomes totally annihilated is Love's return journey to its origin complete; then Love alone remains, in absolute unity and sanctity.

Ahmad Gazālī employs the metaphor of a bird and its journey in another work, a short Persian treatise entitled Resălat al-tayr (or al-toyür). Thanks to its reworking by Farīd-al-Dîn 'Attār (q.v.) in his Manteq al-tayr (which was indeed influenced by Ahmad Gazālī's work or possibly by an Arabic text on the same theme attributed to his elder brother), the frame story of this work has become extremely well known. A group of birds, widely disparate in their habits, natures, and manners of song, agree that they stand in need of a king. They further agree that the ideal candidate for the position is the legendary bird known as Simorg, and accordingly set out for the island where he resides. The journey is fraught with danger and difficulty, and not all the birds survive to reach their destination. Each of the birds corresponds, obviously enough, to the figure of the Lover in the Sawāneḥ and the Sīmorg, residing in its primordial nest, to Love in its essence.

Ahmad Gazālī wrote a number of other brief works in Persian. The best known is an epistle given the title Rāz-nāma by the author, but commonly known as 'Aynīya in view of the belief that was addressed to 'Ayn-al-Qożāt Hamadānī (q.v). Written in an elegant but emotive style, the 'Aynīya seems to resemble the sermons Ahmad Gazālī was famous for delivering in mosques. In addition to profuse quotations from the Koran and prophetic tradition, he cites many sayings of the Sufi masters as well as verses, in both Arabic and Persian, some of which he probably composed himself. The central theme of the epistle is the extreme brevity of life and the threatening closeness of death, a predicament which man can sensibly confront only by preparing himself for judgment and the hereafter. Vivid language is used in depicting the dilemma of human existence; the author writes, for example, that "if man eats to satiety, he will be as if drunk, and if he remains hungry, he will be as if mad. If he sleeps, he will be a mere corpse, and if he remains awake, he will be bewildered. Impotence is fastened on him, and weakness is his permanent attribute" (Majmü'a, 1st ed., p. 389, 2nd ed., p. 225). The topic of love is not raised on this occasion, but Ahmad Gazālī does use some of the mystical language associated with it, particularly in the poetry.

Some nine other letters, most of them similarly addressed to 'Ayn-al-Qożāt Hamadānī, have also been discovered and published. They deal with private instructions given by Ahmad Gazālī to his disciples and his interpretations of their visions and dreams.

Aḥmad Gazālī's Arabic works also deal with Sufism; he appears not to have written anything on jurisprudence, despite his training in the Shafi'ite school. One such work is the record of the sessions (majāles) he conducted in Arabic while in Baghdad, compiled by one of his followers, a certain Sa'īd b. Fāres Labbānī, in several volumes (Sobkī, Ţabaqāt

[Cairo²] VI, p. 60). Only part of the record survives, but it suffices to give a picture of how Aḥmad Ğazālī conducted his sessions. They corresponded to the established tradition whereby a master would speak extemporaneously on some topic pertaining to Sufism, stimulated by a question from the audience, a letter read out loud, or a verse from the Koran recited at the beginning of the session. In this fashion, Ğazālī would guide his listeners to the Sufi path, clarify some point of doctrine, or provide the esoteric interpretation of a Koranic verse, drawing on traditions of the Prophet, poetry and anecdotes to illustrate his themes. Among the topics discussed in these sessions were love, the levels and degrees of gnosis, and the quality of Eblīs as a lover of God.

Among his other Arabic works, mention may be made of al-Tajrīd fī kalemat al-tawhīd, a theological and mystical interpretation of the basic creed of Islam; Lā elāha ellā Allāh, which reflects his adherence to the Ash'arite school of theology; Baḥr al-maḥabba fī asrār al-mawadda, a Sufi commentary on Sūrat Yūsof (Koran 12); and an abridgment of his brother's Eḥyā' 'olūm al-dīn, a work he himself taught.

The attribution to Aḥmad Gazālī of a number of other works has recently been shown to be spurious (Mojāhed, 1981). These include the Persian Baḥr al-ḥaqīqa (ed. N. Pūrjavādī, Tehran, 1356 Š./1977), and the Arabic Bawāreq al-elmā' (ed. and tr. by J. Robson in Tracts on Listening to Music, London, 1938) and Serr al-asrūr fī kašf al-anwār (ed. 'A.-Ḥ. Sāleh Hamdān, Cairo, 1988).

Perhaps the most prominent feature of Ahmad Gazālī's writings is his incorporation into his prose of works of poetry, both Arabic and Persian, including verses he composed himself. It is in fact to him, as one of the earliest Sufi poets, that should be attributed some of the otherwise unidentified Persian quatrains that have come down to the present. Some of his poems are to be found in his Persian works, especially the Sawaneh, while others are scattered in the works of his disciple 'Ayn-al-Qozat Hamadanı or in old anthologies of Persian poetry such as the Nozhat almajāles of Jamāl Kalīl Šarvānī (ed. M. A. Rīāḥī, Tehran, 1366 S./1987, 2nd ed., Tehran, 1375 S./ 1996). By way of example we may cite the following quatrain from the Sawaneh (ed. Ritter, no. 18, p. 35) composed by the author in his youth: tā jām-e jahānnemäy dar dast-e man ast, az rū-ye kerad čark-e barîn past-e man ast / tâ Ka'ba-ye nîst qebla-ye hast-e man ast, hošyärtarīn kalq-e jahān mast-e man ast (As long as the world-displaying goblet is in my hand, the wheel of heaven on high lowers itself before me / As long as the Ka'ba of non-being is the qebla of my being, the most sober man in the world is intoxicated by me).

Ahmad Gazāli's Sufi thought, centered as it was on the idea of love, left a profound mark on the development of Persian mystical literature, especially poetry celebrating love. Many of the topoi (mażāmīn)

used by later poets such as 'Attar, Sa'dī, 'Erāqī, and Hāfez, to name but a few, can be traced to his works, particularly the Sawāneh. It is in his writings that one finds a mystical or psychological interpretation for features of the beloved's face, such as the eyes and the eyebrows; the lover enslaved to the dog or the dust in the quarter of the beloved; wine as a symbol of yearning (šawq) or love ('ešq); love as a reciprocal relation between man and God, man's love for God being his response to God's love for him; the world-displaying cup (jām-e jahān-nemā); the mirror of Alexander (ā'īna-ye Eskandar); the fountain of life (āb-e ḥayāt or zendagānī) hidden in the realm of darkness; the journey of the birds to the homeland of their chosen sovereign, the Sīmorg--all these fundamental themes and images occur in the works of Ahmad Gazālī before they find their place in the poems of his celebrated successors.

Some of these topoi had, of course, been used by previous mystics but were revived and popularized by Gazālī. He was, in fact, an heir to two traditions: the Malamatis of Khorasan and the Sufis of Baghdad. Among his predecessors, he was influenced most strongly by Hallaj, and he made of his idea of essential love-fundamentally a neo-Platonic concept that had also been adopted by Muslim philosophers such as Fārābī and Avicenna (qq.v.)—the basis of his own Sufi thought. His belief that all created beauty is an emanation of divine beauty was likewise Hallajian or neo-Platonic in origin. Since God is both absolute beauty and the lover of all phenomenal beauty, Ahmad Gazalī maintained, to adore any object of beauty is to participate in a divine act of love. Hence the practice of nazar-bāzī or šāhed-bāzī, gazing on young and beautiful faces, a practice for which he became notorious. He was well acquainted with the poems of Hallaj, citing them in both his Arabic and his Persian works, and he derived from the Tawasin of Hallaj themes such as Eblis being a lover of God; the meeting of Eblis with Moses; and the moth gradually advancing to immolation in the flame of the candle as a metaphor for the progress of the Sufi.

Bibliography: Persian works. 'Aynīya, part. ed. H. Wahīd Dastgerdī in Armagān 8, 1308 Š./1929, pp. 42-48; ed. N. Taqawī as Tāzīāna-ye solūk, Tehran 1319 Š./1940; ed. J. Nūrbakš as Resāla fi'lmaw'eza, Tehran, 1352 S./1973. Majāles, ed. with Pers. tr. by A. Mojāhed as Majāles: taqrīrāt-e Ahmad Gazālī, Tehran, 1998. Majmū'a-ye ātār-e fārsī-e Ahmad Gazālī, ed. A. Mojāhed, Tehran, 1358 S./1979, 2nd ed., Tehran, 1370 S./1991 (editions of all the Persian texts with critical apparatus). "Maktūb-ī az Aḥmad Gazālī," ed. N. Pourjavady in Sophia Perennis 3/1, 1979. Mokātabāt-e K'āja Ahmad Gazālī bā 'Ayn-al-Qozāt Hamadānī, ed. N. Pūrjawādī, Tehran, 2536=1356 Š./1977. Resălat al-ţayr, ed. N. Pūrjawādī as Dāstān-e morgān/The Spiritual Flight, Tehran, 1976. Sawaneh, ed. H. Ritter as Ahmad Ghazzalī's Aphorismen über die Liebe, Istanbul, 1942, repr. with intro. by N. Pūrjawādī, Tehran 1368 Š./1989; ed. N. Pūrjawādī, Tehran, 1359 Š./1980; tr. R. Gramlich as Gedanken über die Liebe, Mainz, 1976; tr. G. Wendt as Gedanken über die Liebe, Amsterdam, 1977; tr. by N. Pourjavady as Sawanih: Inspirations from the World of Pure Spirits, the Oldest Persian Sufi Treatise on Love, London and New York, 1986.

Arabic Works. Baḥr al-maḥabba fī asrār al-mawadda, Bombay, 1876, Tehran, 1312/1894; Pers. tr. by A. Faqīhī, Tehran, 1325 Š./1946 (wrongly attributed to Abū Ḥāmed Ĝazālī). Lobāb al-Eḥyā' or Moḥtaṣer Eḥyā' al-'olūm, pub. in margins of 'Abd-al-Malek b. Abi'l-Monā Bābī, Nozhat al-nāẓerīn fi'l-aḥbār wa'l-āṭār al-marwīya 'an al-anbīā' wa'l-ṣāleḥīn, Cairo, 1954. al-Tajrīd fī kalemat al-tawḥīd, ed. Cairo, 1379/1960; Turk. tr. by M. Fawzī as al-Tafrīd fī tarjamat al-Tajrīd, Istanbul, 1285/1868; Ger. tr. by R. Gramlich as Der reine Gottesglaube: das Wort des Einheits-bekenntnises, Wiesbaden, 1983.

Studies. A. Mojāhed, intro. to the Majmū'a (see above). Idem, intro. to Ahmad Tusī, al-Hedaya alsa'diya fi ma'an al-wajdiya, Tehran, 1360 S./1981. N. Pourjavady (Pūrjawādī), Solţān-e ţarīqat: Sawāneh-e zendagī wa šarh-e ātār-e K'āja Ahmad Gazālī, Tehran, 1358 \$./1979. Idem, "Selfhood and Time in the Sufism of Ahmad Ghazzali," Sophia Perennis 4/2, 1981, pp. 32-37. Idem, "'Ālem-e kayyal az nazr-e Ahmad Gazalī, Mu'aref 3/2, 1365 Š./1986a, pp. 3-54. Idem., "Ḥosn wa malāḥat" Našr-e dāneš 6/3, 1365 \$./1986b, pp. 2-9. Idem, "Ahmed et Mohammad al-Ghazali: influence reciproque" in Ghazali: la raison et le miracle, Table fonde UNESCO 9-10, Paris, 1987, pp. 163-68. Idem, "Metaphysik der Liebe: Der Sufismus des Ahmad al-Gazzali," Spektrum Iran 3/1, 1990, pp. 45-72. H. Ritter, Das Meer der Seele, Leiden, 1955. B. Utas, "Ambiguity' in the Savanih of Ahmad Ghazali," Proceedings of the Second European Conference of Iranian Studies, Rome, 1995, pp. 701-10.

(Nasrollah Pourjavady)

GAZĀLĪ MAŠHADĪ (b. Mašhad, 933/1526-27, d. Ahmadabad, Gujarat, 27 Rajab 980/3 December 1572), poet laureate in Persian (malek-al-šoʻarā') at the court of the Mughal emperor Akbar (q.v.). Nothing is known about his family background, even his real name is unknown. His birthdate is known from a reference in one of his poems (Dīvān, fol. 86; 'Abbāsī, p. 52; Hādī, p. 30). During his youth he went to Qazvīn and joined the court of Shah Tahmāsb (r. 930-84/1524-76) for some time. From his poems it appears that Gazālī also visited other Persian cities, including Tabrīz and Kermān. In 958/1551-52 he was sent by the shah to Shiraz in order to to satirize K'āja Amīr Beg Kajajī, keeper of the royal seal, who had earned the shah's displeasure for

allegedly claiming in Shiraz that he had power over the stars. Due to his liberal thinking, however, Gazalī was soon accused of heresy and, fearing for his life, left his native country for India (Bada'uni, Montakab, tr., Ranking et al., III, p. 239). Traveling by sea, he reached the Deccan, where he tried unsuccessfully to win literary patronage. He was later invited by Kan(-e) Zaman 'Aliqoli Khan Šaybānī, the governor of Jaunpūr, who sent him one thousand rupees and some horses to join his service. Gazālī remained in 'Alīqolī Khan's service until the latter's violent death in his abortive revolt against Akbar (974/1567). Gazālī was among the servants of the deceased who fell into the hands of Akbar and who were retained by him in his service. He must have already come to Akbar's attention, since he had in 966/1558-59 dedicated to him a collection of his poetry (Dīvān, preface to Ātār al-šabāb, fol. 53a); he may have also enjoyed the support of some trusted dignitaries of the empire whom he had praised in his poems. Whatever the reasons, his fortunes rose speedily, and in 975/1567 he was appointed as the first poet laureate, an institution introduced by Akbar. Subsequently he lived a life of comfort and material well-being until his death in 980/1572 (Badā'ūnī Montakab, tr., Ranking et al., p. 240). He was buried in Ahmadabad at Sarkhēj in a cemetery reserved for princes and men of rank.

Gazālī's relations with fellow-poets were not always pleasant. Both in Persia and in India he engaged in poetical altercations with his contemporaries, exchanging insults. He, however, maintained friendly association with Fayžī Dakanī (Majma' alfoṣaḥā' IV, p. 47), who succeeded him as Akbar's poet laureate and commemorated his death in a poem (Kollīyat-e Fayžī, Aligarh Muslim University ms., fol. 286b; Golčīn-e Ma'ānī, Kārvān II, p. 937).

Estimates vary as to the extent of Gazālī's poetic output, ranging from 50,000 to 100,000 couplets. A rare manuscript of his Divan in the British Library contains around 12,000 couplets, including qaşīdas, guzals, matnawis, qet'as, robā'is, tarkīb-bands, and tarji bands. His qaşīdas are not confined to eulogies of rulers and nobles, but treat other subjects as well, including praise of God and the Prophet, mysticism, and personal experiences and observations. Among the individuals panegyrized are Shah Tahmasb, Khan-e Zamān, and Akbar, who stands out as the poet's principal recipient of praise. Most of the qaşīdas follow the tradition of the 15th and early 16th century poets, but there are also specimens modeled after earlier masters such as Kaqani Šarvanī (d. ca. 595/1198) and Amīr Kosrow Dehlavī (d. 725/ 1325).

Gazālī's gazals deal primarily with mystical and philosophical themes. They are characterized by sensitivity of feeling and felicity of expression. Among Gazālī's mannawīs the most important piece is Naqš-e badī', a mystical poem of about one thousand couplets composed after the model of